arXiv:1609.07438v1 [math-ph] 23 Sep 2016

POISSON-LIE GROUPS, BI-HAMILTONIAN SYSTEMS AND INTEGRABLE DEFORMATIONS

ANGEL BALLESTEROS, JUAN C. MARRERO, AND ZOHREH RAVANPAK

Abstract. Given a Lie-Poisson completely integrable bi-Hamiltonian system on $\mathbb{R}^n$, we present a method which allows us to construct, under certain conditions, a completely integrable bi-Hamiltonian deformation of the initial Lie-Poisson system on a non-abelian Poisson-Lie group G_η of dimension n, where $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ is the deformation parameter. Moreover, we show that from the two multiplicative (Poisson-Lie) Hamiltonian structures on G_η that underly the dynamics of the deformed system and by making use of the group law on G_η, one may obtain two completely integrable Hamiltonian systems on $G_\eta \times G_\eta$. By construction, both systems admit reduction, via the multiplication in G_η, to the deformed bi-Hamiltonian system in G_η. The previous approach is applied to two relevant Lie-Poisson completely integrable bi-Hamiltonian systems: the Lorenz and Euler top systems.

1. Introduction

It is well-known that a Hamiltonian system on a symplectic manifold M of dimension $2r$ is (Liouville) completely integrable if there exist r first integrals that pairwise commute and which are functionally independent in a dense open subset U of M. In such a case, U admits a Lagrangian foliation and the solutions of the Hamiltonian dynamics live in the leaves of this foliation (see [1]). The previous notion may be extended, in a natural way, for the more general case when the phase space M is a Poisson manifold P, not necessarily symplectic (for more details, see [17]). Following this approach, a dynamical system on a manifold P is said to be bi-Hamiltonian if admits two Hamiltonian descriptions with respect to two compatible Poisson structures on P. Bi-hamiltonian and completely integrable Hamiltonian systems are closely related since, under certain conditions, a bi-Hamiltonian system is completely integrable (see, for instance, [16]).

We also recall that for a multiplicative Poisson structure on a Lie group G, the multiplication is a Poisson epimorphism. In these conditions, the dual space $\mathfrak{g}^*$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G admits a Lie algebra structure in such a way that the couple $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$ is a Lie bialgebra. In fact, the Lie algebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}^*$ is defined by the dual map of an adjoint 1-cocycle on $\mathfrak{g}$ with values in $\Lambda^2\mathfrak{g}$. Conversely, an adjoint 1-cocycle on a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with values in $\Lambda^2\mathfrak{g}$ whose dual map satisfies the Jacobi identity, induces a unique multiplicative Poisson structure on a connected

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37K10, 53D17, 37J35, 34A26, 34C14, 17B62, 17B63.

Key words and phrases. Lie-Poisson structures, Poisson-Lie groups, Hamiltonian systems, bi-Hamiltonian systems, completely integrable systems, integrable deformations, coalgebras.

A.B. has been partially supported by Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (MINECO, Spain) under grant MTM2013-43820-P, and by Junta de Castilla y León (Spain) under grants BU278U14 and VA057U16. J.C.M. has been partially supported by Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (MINECO, Spain) under grant MTM 2015-64166-C2-2P. Z.R. has been partially supported by Ministry of Science Research and Technology (MSRT, Iran) under grant 2015-215401.

simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ (see [9]). Lie groups which are endowed with multiplicative Poisson structures are called Poisson-Lie groups and Lie-Poisson structures on the dual space of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ are examples of abelian Poisson-Lie groups (for more details see, for instance, [23]). Poisson-Lie groups are instances of Poisson coalgebras for which the comultiplication map is given by the group law, and the quantization of the former are the so-called quantum groups, which are the underlying symmetries of many relevant quantum integrable models (see, for instance, [8, 10]).

As it was shown in [6], Poisson coalgebras can be systematically used in order to construct completely integrable Hamiltonian systems with an arbitrary number of degrees of freedom. Moreover, under this approach, deformations of Poisson coalgebras provide integrable deformations of the previous systems, and all constants of the motion can be explicitly obtained. Since then, this approach has been extensively used in order to construct different types of finite-dimensional integrable systems (see [2, 3, 4, 7] and references therein) and several closely related constructions relying on the modification of the underlying Poisson coalgebra symmmetry have been also proposed [5, 12, 19].

Nevertheless, the generalization of the Poisson coalgebra approach to bi-Hamiltonian systems was still lacking, and the aim of this paper is to fill this gap by presenting a systematic approach for the construction of integrable deformations of bi-Hamiltonian systems, which will be based on the theory of multiplicative Poisson structures on Lie groups.

To achieve this goal, we will firstly need an appropriate geometric interpretation of the results recently presented in [3]. In particular, from a Lie-Poisson completely integrable Hamiltonian system defined on the dual space $\mathfrak{g}^*$ of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and in the presence of an arbitrary uni-parametric family $\{\Psi_\eta\}_{\eta\in\mathbb{R}}$ of adjoint 1-cocycles whose dual maps satisfy the Jacobi identity, we will show that a Hamiltonian deformation of the initial system can be constructed on a connected and simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^*_\eta$. When η approaches to zero, one recovers the initial system on $\mathfrak{g}^*$ and, under certain conditions, the deformed system also is completely integrable. Now, using that the multiplication in G_η is associative and a Poisson epimorphism, one may obtain new Hamiltonian systems with more degrees of freedom on N copies of G_η, by coupling of the integrable Hamiltonian deformation in G_η. We will show that, by construction, these systems admit reduction, via the multiplication, to the deformed system in G_η. Moreover, under certain conditions, they also are completely integrable.

Secondly, if we want to generalize the previous construction when the initial completely integrable Hamiltonian system is bi-Hamiltonian with respect to two compatible Lie-Poisson structures Π_0 and Π_1 on $\mathbb{R}^n$, this implies that we have to deal with two compatible Lie algebra structures $[\cdot,\cdot]_0$ and $[\cdot,\cdot]_1$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ which induce the two compatible Poisson structures Π_0 and Π_1. As we will show in this paper, the following important considerations and findings arise as a consequence of the bi-Hamiltonian approach:

- In order to stay within the category of bi-Hamiltonian systems, we will have to impose that the first integrable deformation of the initial system to be bi-Hamiltonian with respect to two compatible multiplicative Poisson structures $\Pi_{0\eta}$ and $\Pi_{1\eta}$ on the *same* Lie group G_η. So, we should be able to find a common uni-parametric family of adjoint 1-cocycles $\{\Psi_\eta\}_{\eta\in\mathbb{R}}$ for the Lie algebra structures $[\cdot,\cdot]_0$ and $[\cdot,\cdot]_1$.
- When comparing with the prescriptions given by the generic Poisson coalgebra method used in [3, 6], now we have more constraints in choosing the two Hamiltonian functions $H_{0\eta}$ and $H_{1\eta}$ for the bi-Hamiltonian system on G_η. The reason is that $H_{0\eta}$ and $H_{1\eta}$

must be commuting functions for the Poisson structures $\Pi_{0\eta}$ and $\Pi_{1\eta}$. This, in some cases, fixes uniquely the definition of the Hamiltonians $H_{0\eta}$ and $H_{1\eta}$.

- The dynamics of the (completely integrable) Hamiltonian systems $(\Pi_{0\eta}, H_{0\eta})$ and $(\Pi_{1\eta}, H_{1\eta})$ on G_η coincide. However, the coupling, on one hand, of the system $(H_{0\eta}, \Pi_{0\eta})$ on $G_\eta \times G_\eta$ and the coupling, on the other hand, of the system $(H_{1\eta}, \Pi_{1\eta})$ on $G_\eta \times G_\eta$ can be used to produce two completely integrable Hamiltonian systems on the Lie group $G_\eta \times G_\eta$ which have not, in general, the same dynamics. In other words, the method does not provide, in general, a bi-Hamiltonian system on the phase space $G_\eta \times G_\eta$.
- Nevertheless, the two completely integrable Hamiltonian systems on $G_\eta \times G_\eta$ admit reduction, via the multiplication, to the deformed bi-Hamiltonian system on G_η. So, for this reason, we can say that the Hamiltonian systems on $G_\eta \times G_\eta$ are 'quasi-bi-Hamiltonian'. Moreover, as in the general method and by using the associativity of the multiplication in G_η, one may extend this construction in order to obtain two multiplicative completely integrable Hamiltonian systems on N copies of G_η, with $N \geq 2$. By construction, these systems admit reduction (via the multiplication) to the deformed bi-Hamiltonian system on G_η and will preserve for any N its 'quasi-bi-Hamiltonian' nature.
- The method here presented is fully constructive and it could be applied to any Lie-Poisson completely integrable bi-Hamiltonian system such that both Lie algebra structures $[\cdot,\cdot]_0$ and $[\cdot,\cdot]_1$ have a common 1-cocycle. Indeed, if this cocycle is found to be multiparametric, then we would obtain a multiparametric integrable deformation of the initial bi-Hamiltonian system.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we will review some definitions and basic results on Poisson structures, Poisson-Lie groups and Poisson bi-Hamiltonian systems. In Section 3, we will present the systematic method to obtain integrable deformations of Lie-Poisson bi-Hamiltonian systems. For the sake of clarity, we will exemplify the method to the particular case when our initial dynamical system is a specific Lie-Poisson completely integrable bi-Hamiltonian system on $\mathbb{R}^4$. Our motivation for considering this system lies in the fact that its restriction to a submanifold of codimension 1 is just an integrable limit of the well-known Lorenz dynamical system (see [3, 18]). In Section 4 we will face the problem of the construction of the two completely integrable quasi-bi-Hamiltonian systems on $\mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$, which will admit a reduction to the bi-Hamiltonian systems on $\mathbb{R}^n$ that have been presented in the previous section. The deformed counterpart of this construction leading to coupled systems on $G_\eta \times G_\eta$ is presented in Section 5. In order to show the fully constructive nature of the approach here introduced, in Section 6 we apply it to another relevant Lie-Poisson completely integrable bi-Hamiltonian system: an Euler top on $\mathbb{R}^3$. Finally, a concluding section closes the paper.

2. Bi-Hamiltonian systems and Poisson-Lie groups

In this section, we will review some definitions an basic results on Poisson-Lie groups and bi-Hamiltonian systems on Poisson manifolds (for more details, see [23]).

2.1. **Poisson manifolds and Lie-Poisson structures.** We recall that a Poisson structure on a manifold M is a bivector field Π on M such that the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket $[\Pi, \Pi] = 0$.

This is equivalent to defining a Lie algebra structure on $C^\infty(M)$, whose bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$ is called the Poisson bracket. The relation between the two definitions is given by the formula:

$$\{f,g\} = \Pi(df,dg), \quad \forall f,g \in C^\infty(M).$$

A Poisson structure Π on M determines in a natural way a vector bundle morphism $\Pi^\sharp : T^*M \to TM$ from the cotangent bundle T^*M of M to the tangent bundle TM. We also denote by $\Pi^\sharp$ the corresponding morphism between the space $\Omega^1(M)$ of 1-forms on M and the space $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ of vector fields. The rank of the Poisson structure at the point $x \in M$ is just the rank of the linear map $\Pi^\sharp(x) : T^*_xM \to T_xM$. Since this linear map is skew-symmetric, the rank is always an even number. It is clear that $\Pi^\sharp(x) : T^*_xM \to T_xM$ is not, in general, an isomorphism. In fact, a real C^∞-function on M is said to be a Casimir if $\Pi^\sharp(df) = 0$ or, equivalently,

$$\{f,g\} = 0, \quad \forall g \in C^\infty(M).$$

If the rank of a Poisson structure Π on a manifold M is maximum and equal to the dimension of M then the structure is symplectic. This means that the manifold M has even dimension $2n$ and it admits a closed 2-form Ω which is non-degenerate, i.e., the vector bundle morphism $\flat_\Omega : TM \to T^*M$, induced by Ω, is an isomorphism. In fact, in such a case, we have that $\Pi^\sharp$ is just the inverse morphism of $\flat_\Omega$.

Another interesting class of Poisson structures are the so-called Lie-Poisson structures on $\mathbb{R}^n$. A Poisson structure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be Lie-Poisson if the bracket of two linear functions is again a linear function. So, if $(x_1,\dots,x_n)$ are the standard coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^n$, it follows that

$$\{x_i,x_j\} = c^k_{ij}x_k, \quad \text{for } i,j \in \{1,\dots,n\}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $c^k_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$.

In fact, there exists a one-to-one correspondence between Lie-Poisson structures on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and Lie algebra structures on the same space. In fact, the Lie algebra structure $[\cdot,\cdot]$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ associated with the Lie-Poisson structure characterized by (2.1) is given by

$$[x^ie_i, x^je_j] = x^ix^jc^k_{ij}e_k.$$

2.2. **Poisson bi-Hamiltonian systems.** Let Π be a Poisson structure on a manifold M. Then, a smooth real function H (the Hamiltonian function) induces a vector field $X_H = \Pi^\sharp(dH)$ (the Hamiltonian vector field). Hamilton's equations of motion for H are:

$$\dot{x} = \Pi^\sharp(dH) \equiv \{x,H\}.$$

So, solutions of the Hamilton equations are just the integral curves of X_H. The couple $(\{\cdot,\cdot\},H)$ is said to be a Poisson Hamiltonian system.

Let $(\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0,H_0)$ be a Hamiltonian system. This system is said to be bi-Hamiltonian if there exists another compatible Poisson structure $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_1$ and a Hamiltonian function H_1 such that the corresponding Hamiltonian vector fields X_{H_0} and X_{H_1} coincide. This means that

$$\dot{x} = \{x,H_0\}_0 = \{x,H_1\}_1.$$

We recall that two Poisson structures Π and Π' are said to be compatible if the sum $\Pi + \Pi'$ also is a Poisson structure or, equivalently, the Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket $[\Pi,\Pi']$ is zero.

There exist different notions of completely integrable Poisson Hamiltonian systems. In this paper, we will adopt the following definition. Let Π be a Poisson structure, with Poisson bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}$, on a manifold M of dimension n such that the rank of Π is constant and equal to $2r \leq n$

in a dense open subset of M. A Poisson Hamiltonian system $(\{\cdot,\cdot\}, H)$ is said to be completely integrable if there exist $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_r \in C^\infty(M)$ satisfying the following conditions:

(i) The functions $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_r$ are functionally independent in a dense open subset of M, that is,
$$d\varphi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\varphi_r \neq 0,$$
in a dense open subset of M and

(ii) They are first integrals of the Hamiltonian system that pairwise commute, i.e.,
$$\{\varphi_j, H\} = 0 \text{ and } \{\varphi_j, \varphi_k\} = 0, \quad \text{for } j,k \in \{1,\ldots,r\}.$$

2.3. **Poisson-Lie groups.** A multiplicative Poisson structure Π on a Lie group G is a Poisson structure such that the multiplication $m : G\times G \to G$ is a Poisson epimorphism or, equivalently,
$$\Pi(gh) = (T_g r_h)(\Pi(g)) + (T_h l_g)(\Pi(h)),\ \forall g,h \in G.$$
where $r_h : G \to G$ and $l_g : G \to G$ are the right and left translation by h and g, respectively. In this case, G is called a Poisson-Lie group.

$\mathbb{R}^n$ endowed with a linear Poisson structure is an abelian Poisson-Lie group.

For a multiplicative Poisson structure Π on a Lie group G, the linear map $\psi = d_{\mathfrak{e}}\Pi : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \wedge^2\mathfrak{g}$ is a 1-cocycle, i.e.
$$[\xi, \psi\eta] - [\eta, \psi\xi] - \psi[\xi,\eta] = 0, \quad \forall \xi,\eta \in \mathfrak{g}$$
and the dual map $\psi^* : \Lambda^2\mathfrak{g}^* \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ is a Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{g}^*$. In other words, the couple $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$ is a Lie bialgebra. Note that if Π is a multiplicative Poisson structure on G then $\Pi(\mathfrak{e}) = 0$, $\mathfrak{e}$ being the identity element in G.

On the other hand, if $((\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot,\cdot]), (\mathfrak{g}^*, [\cdot,\cdot]^*))$ is a Lie bialgebra and G is a connected simply-connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, then G admits a multiplicative Poisson structure Π and $[\cdot,\cdot]^* = d^*_{\mathfrak{e}}\Pi$.

3. Bihamiltonian deformations and integrability

In this section, we will present a systematic method in order to obtain integrable deformations of Lie-Poisson bi-Hamiltonian systems and we will use the Lorenz system as a guiding example.

We recall that our initial data is a dynamical system D on $\mathbb{R}^n$, which is bi-Hamiltonian with respect to two compatible linear Poisson structures. In fact, in the examples here presented, the system D is completely integrable. The aim of this construction is two-fold:

- Firstly, to construct a bi-Hamiltonian deformation D_η of the dynamical system on $\mathbb{R}^n$, whose bi-Hamiltonian structure will be provided by a pair of multiplicative (Poisson-Lie) structures on a non-abelian Lie group G_η. We will see that, under certain conditions and for every $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$, this method is fully constructive and systematic, and guarantees that the limit $\eta \to 0$ of D_η is just the initial dynamical system on $\mathbb{R}^n$.
- Secondly, under the assumption that the bi-Hamiltonian system on G_η is completely integrable, we will try to construct two completely integrable Hamiltonian systems on the product Lie group $G_\eta \times G_\eta$ whose projection, via the multiplication $\cdot_\eta : G_\eta \times G_\eta \to G_\eta$ in G_η, is just the bi-Hamiltonian and completely integrable system on G_η.

In the sequel we will make this construction explicit by splitting it into several steps.

3.1. **The system D and its bi-Hamiltonian structure.** The Lorenz dynamical system (see [18]) is given by the differential equations

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \dot{x} & = & \sigma(y-x), \\ \dot{y} & = & \rho x - xz - y, \\ \dot{z} & = & -\beta z + xy, \end{array} \tag{3.1}$$

where σ and ρ are the Prandtl and Rayleigh numbers, respectively, and β is the aspect ratio. In [21, 22] (see also [13]), the authors consider the conservative limit of the previous equations, which is obtained through the following rescalling

$$t \to \epsilon t, \quad x \to \frac{1}{\epsilon}x, \quad y \to \frac{1}{\sigma\epsilon^2}y, \quad z \to \frac{1}{\sigma\epsilon^2}z, \quad \epsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma\rho}}$$

In the limit $\epsilon \to 0$, the system (3.1) reduces to

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \dot{x} & = & y, \\ \dot{y} & = & x(1-z), \\ \dot{z} & = & xy. \end{array} \tag{3.2}$$

Furthermore, the transformation

$$x = x_1, \quad y = \frac{x_2}{2}, \quad z = \frac{x_3+2}{2}$$

carries (3.2) into:

$$\dot{x_1} = \frac{x_2}{2}, \quad \dot{x_2} = -x_1x_3, \quad \dot{x_3} = x_1x_2.$$

It is straightforward to check that the previous system is bi-Hamiltonian with respect to the Poisson structures $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0$ and $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_a$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ which are characterized by

$$\begin{array}{rclrclrcl} \{x_1,x_2\}_0 & = & -\dfrac{x_3}{2}, & \{x_1,x_3\}_0 & = & \dfrac{x_2}{2}, & \{x_2,x_3\}_0 & = & 0, \\ \{x_1,x_2\}_a & = & \dfrac{1}{4}, & \{x_1,x_3\}_a & = & -\dfrac{x_1}{2}, & \{x_2,x_3\}_a & = & 0. \end{array}$$

The corresponding Hamiltonian functions are

$$H_0 = x_3 - x_1^2 \qquad \text{and} \qquad H_1 = x_2^2 + x_3^2, \tag{3.3}$$

respectively (for more details, see [13]).

Note that the Poisson structure $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_a$ on $\mathbb{R}^3$ is affine and the corresponding linear Poisson structure $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_l$ on $\mathbb{R}^3$ is given by

$$\{x_1,x_2\}_l = 0, \quad \{x_2,x_3\}_l = -\frac{x_1}{2}, \quad \{x_2,x_3\}_l = 0.$$

So, we can consider the extension $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_1$ to $\mathbb{R}^4$ of $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_l$

$$\{x_1,x_2\}_1 = \frac{x_4}{4}, \quad \{x_2,x_3\}_1 = -\frac{x_1}{2}, \quad \{x_2,x_3\}_1 = 0, \quad \{\cdot,x_4\}_1 = 0, \tag{3.4}$$

which is a Lie-Poisson structure. In this way, the affine subspace A defined by the equation $x_4 = 1$ is a Poisson submanifold, and the induced Poisson structure on A is just $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_a$. In the same manner, we also denote by $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0$ the trivial extension to $\mathbb{R}^4$ of the Poisson structure $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0$, namely

$$\{x_1,x_2\}_0 = -\frac{x_3}{2}, \quad \{x_1,x_3\}_0 = \frac{x_2}{2}, \quad \{x_2,x_3\}_0 = 0, \quad \{\cdot,x_4\}_0 = 0, \tag{3.5}$$

and the Casimir functions for the Poisson bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0$ are

$$\mathcal{C}_0 = x_2^2 + x_3^2, \qquad \mathcal{C}_0' = x_4.$$

The linear Poisson structures $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0$ and $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_1$ on $\mathbb{R}^4$ turn out to be compatible, in the sense that we can define a one-parametric family of Lie-Poisson structures (a Poisson pencil)

$$\{.,.\}_\alpha = (1-\alpha)\{.,.\}_0 + \alpha\{.,.\}_1, \quad \text{with } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

whose explicit brackets are given by

$$\begin{array}{rclcrcl} \{x_1,x_2\}_\alpha &=& \dfrac{\alpha x_4}{4} - (1-\alpha)\dfrac{x_3}{2}, & & \{x_1,x_3\}_\alpha &=& (1-\alpha)\dfrac{x_2}{2}, \\ \{x_2,x_3\}_\alpha &=& (-\alpha)\dfrac{x_1}{2}, & & \{.,x_4\}_\alpha &=& 0. \end{array} \tag{3.6}$$

Obviously, if $\{X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4\}$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^4$ then the corresponding Lie bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]_\alpha$ on $\mathbb{R}^4$ is given by

$$\begin{array}{rclcrcl} [X_1,X_2]_\alpha &=& \dfrac{\alpha X_4}{4} - (1-\alpha)\dfrac{X_3}{2}, & & [X_1,X_3]_\alpha &=& (1-\alpha)\dfrac{X_2}{2}, \\ [X_2,X_3]_\alpha &=& (-\alpha)\dfrac{X_1}{2}, & & [\cdot,X_4]_\alpha &=& 0. \end{array} \tag{3.7}$$

Therefore, we can say that the dynamical system D

$$\dot{x_1} = \frac{x_2x_4}{2}, \qquad \dot{x_2} = -x_1x_3, \qquad \dot{x_3} = x_1x_2, \qquad \dot{x_4} = 0, \tag{3.8}$$

is bi-Hamiltonian with respect to the Lie-Poisson structures $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0$ and $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_1$ with Hamiltonian functions given by $H_0 = x_3x_4 - x_1^2$ and $H_1 = x_2^2 + x_3^2$. From the previous considerations, we also deduce that this bi-Hamiltonian system is completely integrable. Note that the original Lorenz system is recovered within the submanifold $x_4 = 1$.

In general, starting from a dynamical system D on $\mathbb{R}^n$, the first task consists in finding two compatible linear Poisson structures $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0$ and $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_1$ such that our dynamical system is bi-Hamiltonian with respect to these two Poisson structures. This means that there exist two Hamiltonian functions $H_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ and $H_1 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ and the evolution of an observable $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is given by

$$\dot{\varphi} = \{\varphi, H_0\}_0 = \{\varphi, H_1\}_1.$$

In fact, in the Lorenz system that we have just described we observe that:

- The Hamiltonian H_0 (respectively, H_1) is a Casimir function $\mathcal{C}_1$ (respectively, $\mathcal{C}_0$) for $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_1$ (respectively, $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0$).
- The rank of the Poisson structures $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0$ and $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_1$ satisfies the following condition

  $$rank\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0 = rank\{\cdot,\cdot\}_1 = 2r$$

  in a dense open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. In the previous example $r = 1$ and $n = 4$.
- The Hamiltonian systems $(\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0, H_0)$ and $(\{\cdot,\cdot\}_1, H_1)$ are completely integrable.

In general, we will denote by $\{\mathcal{C}_i, \mathcal{C}_i^j\}_{j=1,\ldots,n-2r-1}$ the Casimir functions for $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_i$, with $i = 0, 1$, and by $\{\varphi^j\}_{j=1,\cdots,r-1}$ the set of common first integrals for the Hamiltonian systems $(\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0, H_0)$ and $(\{\cdot,\cdot\}_1, H_1)$.

3.2. **Construction of the bi-Hamiltonian system D_η.** Let $[\cdot,\cdot]_0$ (respectively, $[\cdot,\cdot]_1$) be the Lie algebra structure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ associated with the linear Poisson bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0$ (respectively, $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_1$). Then, we have to find a non-trivial common adjoint 1-cocycle $\psi_\eta : \mathbb{R}^n \to \wedge^2\mathbb{R}^n$, with $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$, for the Lie algebras $(\mathbb{R}^n, [\cdot,\cdot]_0)$ and $(\mathbb{R}^n, [\cdot,\cdot]_1)$ and with the initial condition $\psi_0 = 0$.

In doing so, $[\cdot,\cdot]^*_\eta = \psi^*_\eta : \wedge^2\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a Lie bracket by definition, and we can consider the connected and simply-connected Lie group G_η with Lie algebra $(\mathbb{R}^n, [\cdot,\cdot]^*_\eta)$. Also, we will denote by $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta}$ and $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta}$ the pair of compatible multiplicative Poisson brackets on G_η associated with the 1-cocycle $\psi_\eta : \mathbb{R}^n \to \wedge^2\mathbb{R}^n$.

Afterwards, we have to find a Casimir function $\mathcal{C}_{0\eta}$ (resp., $\mathcal{C}_{1\eta}$) for the Poisson bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta}$ (resp., $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta}$) on G_η. Then it turns out that:

(i) The Hamiltonian systems $(\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta}, H_{0\eta} := \mathcal{C}_{1\eta})$ and $(\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta}, H_{1\eta} := \mathcal{C}_{0\eta})$ coincide, that is, we have a bi-Hamiltonian system D_η on the Lie group G_η.

(ii) This system is a η-deformation of the original bi-Hamiltonian system D, *i.e.*,

$$\lim_{\eta\to 0}\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta} = \{\cdot,\cdot\}_0, \quad \lim_{\eta\to 0}\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta} = \{\cdot,\cdot\}_1$$

and

$$\lim_{\eta\to 0} H_{0\eta} = H_0, \quad \lim_{\eta\to 0} H_{1\eta} = H_1.$$

Moreover, in our examples, the Hamiltonian systems $(\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta}, H_{0\eta})$ and $(\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta}, H_{1\eta})$ are completely integrable and the ranks of $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta}$ and $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta}$ are again $2r$ within a dense open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. We will denote by $\{\mathcal{C}_{i\eta}, \mathcal{C}^j_{i\eta}\}_{j=1,\dots,n-2r-1}$ the Casimir functions for the multiplicative Poisson bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{i\eta}$, with $i = 0, 1$, and by $\{\varphi^j_\eta\}_{j=1,\dots,r-1}$ the common first integrals for the Hamiltonian systems $(\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{i\eta}, H_{i\eta})$, with $i = 0, 1$. In fact, we have that

$$\lim_{\eta\to 0} \mathcal{C}^j_{i\eta} = \mathcal{C}^j_i, \quad \text{with } j = 1,\dots,n-2r-1,$$

and

$$\lim_{\eta\to 0} \varphi^j_\eta = \varphi^j, \quad \text{with } j = 1,\dots,r-1.$$

3.2.1. *The Lorenz 1-cocycle and its associated non-abelian group G_η.* A straightforward computation shows that a non-trivial admissible cocycle for the family of Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ (see (3.7)) is:

$$\psi_\eta(X_1) = 0, \quad \psi_\eta(X_2) = -\eta X_3 \wedge X_4, \quad \psi_\eta(X_3) = \eta X_2 \wedge X_4, \quad \psi_\eta(X_4) = 0.$$

So, we have the family of Lie bialgebras $(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \psi_\eta)$. The Lie bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]^*_\eta$ on $(\mathbb{R}^4)^* \simeq \mathbb{R}^4$ obtained from the dual cocommutator map is:

$$[X^2, X^4]^*_\eta = \eta X^3, \quad [X^3, X^4]^*_\eta = -\eta X^2,$$

the rest of basic Lie brackets being zero.

Now, let G_η be the connected and simply-connected Lie group with Lie algebra $(\mathbb{R}^4, [\cdot,\cdot]^*_\eta)$. Then, one may prove that G_η is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^4$ and the multiplication $\cdot_\eta$ of two group elements $g = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ and $g' = (x'_1, x'_2, x'_3, x'_4)$ reads

$$g \cdot_\eta g' = (x_1 + x'_1, x_2 + x'_2\cos(\eta x_4) + x'_3\sin(\eta x_4), x_3 - x'_2\sin(\eta x_4) + x'_3\cos(\eta x_4), x_4 + x'_4).$$

Note that G_0 is the abelian Lie group and G_η, with $\eta \neq 0$, is isomorphic to the special euclidean group $SE(2)$.

A basis $\{\overleftarrow{X}^1, \overleftarrow{X}^2, \overleftarrow{X}^3, \overleftarrow{X}^4\}$ (resp., $\{\overrightarrow{X}^1, \overrightarrow{X}^2, \overrightarrow{X}^3, \overrightarrow{X}^4\}$) of left-invariant (resp., right-invariant) vector fields for G_η is found to be

$$\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \cos(\eta x_4)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} - \sin(\eta x_4)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}, \sin(\eta x_4)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \cos(\eta x_4)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4}\}$$

(resp., $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}, \eta x_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} - \eta x_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4}\}$).

Finally, the adjoint action $Ad : G_\eta \times \mathfrak{g}_\eta \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\eta$ for Lie group G_η can be straightforwardly computed:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Ad_g(X^1) & = & X^1, \\ Ad_g(X^2) & = & \cos(\eta x_4)X^2 - \sin(\eta x_4)X^3, \\ Ad_g(X^3) & = & \sin(\eta x_4)X^2 + \cos(\eta x_4)X^3, \\ Ad_g(X^4) & = & -\eta x_3 X^2 + \eta x_2 X^3 + X^4. \end{array}$$

3.2.2. *A Poisson-Lie group structure on G_η.* By construction, the following family of non-trivial admissible 1-cocycles for the Lie algebra $(\mathbb{R}^4, [\cdot,\cdot]_\eta^*)$ is obtained as the dual of the commutator map (3.7), namely

$$\begin{array}{rclcrcl} \psi_\alpha(X^1) & = & -\frac{\alpha}{2}X^2 \wedge X^3, & & \psi_\alpha(X^2) & = & \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2}X^1 \wedge X^3, \\ \psi_\alpha(X^3) & = & -\frac{(1-\alpha)}{2}X^1 \wedge X^2, & & \psi_\alpha(X^4) & = & \frac{\alpha}{4}X^1 \wedge X^2. \end{array}$$

Denote by $\Pi_{\alpha\eta}$ the (unique) multiplicative Poisson structure on G_η which is induced by the 1-cocycle ψ_α. In order to obtain $\Pi_{\alpha\eta}$, we consider the 1-form γ_{ψ_α} on G_η with values in $\Lambda^2\mathfrak{g}_\eta$ which is characterized by the following relation

$$\gamma_{\psi_\alpha}(\overleftarrow{X})(g) = Ad_g(\psi_\alpha(X)), \quad \text{for } X \in \mathfrak{g}_\eta \text{ and } g \in G_\eta.$$

As we know (see the proof of Theorem 10.9 in [23]), γ_{ψ_α} is an exact 1-form. So, there exists a unique function $\pi : G_\eta \to \Lambda^2\mathfrak{g}_\eta$ satisfying

$$\pi(\mathfrak{e}) = 0 \text{ and } d\pi = \gamma_{\psi_\alpha},$$

where $\mathfrak{e} = (0,0,0,0)$ is the identity element in G_η.

If we suppose that

$$\pi(g) = \pi_{ij}(g)X^i \wedge X^j, \quad \text{for } g \in G_\eta,$$

then the multiplicative Poisson structure $\Pi_{\alpha\eta}$ is given by

$$\Pi_{\alpha\eta}(g) = \pi_{ij}(g)\overrightarrow{X^i} \wedge \overrightarrow{X^j}$$

(see the proof of Theorem 10.9 in [23]).

Applying the previous process, we deduce that the corresponding compatible multiplicative Poisson brackets $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{\alpha\eta}$ on G_η are given by

$$\begin{array}{rclcrcl} \{x_1,x_2\}_{\alpha\eta} & = & \frac{\alpha}{4}\frac{\sin(\eta x_4)}{\eta} - (1-\alpha)\frac{x_3}{2}, & & \{x_2,x_3\}_{\alpha\eta} & = & (-\alpha)\frac{x_1}{2}, \\ \{x_1,x_3\}_{\alpha\eta} & = & (1-\alpha)\frac{x_2}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{4}\frac{\cos(\eta x_4)-1}{\eta}, & & \{.,x_4\}_{\alpha\eta} & = & 0. \end{array} \tag{3.9}$$

As we expected, $\lim_{\eta\to 0}\{.,.\}_{\alpha\eta} = \{.,.\}_\alpha$. Thus, we have constructed an η-deformation (3.9) of the Lie-Poisson bracket (3.6). We stress that (3.9) is just a multiplicative Poisson-Lie structure

on the noncommutative group G_η, while (3.6) can be thought of as a multiplicative structure on the abelian Lie group $\mathbb{R}^4$.

3.2.3. *Casimir functions and deformed bi-Hamiltonian structure.* In the particular cases when $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$, the η-deformations $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta}$ and $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta}$ of the Lie-Poisson brackets $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0$ and $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_1$ have the form

$$\{x_1, x_2\}_{0\eta} = -\frac{x_3}{2}, \qquad \{x_1, x_3\}_{0\eta} = \frac{x_2}{2}, \qquad \{x_2, x_3\}_{0\eta} = 0, \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\{x_1, x_2\}_{1\eta} = \frac{\sin(\eta x_4)}{4\eta}, \qquad \{x_1, x_3\}_{1\eta} = \frac{\cos(\eta x_4) - 1}{4\eta}, \qquad \{x_2, x_3\}_{1\eta} = -\frac{x_1}{2}. \tag{3.11}$$

The function x_4 is a Casimir for both Poisson structures. Other Casimir functions for these two multiplicative Poisson structures are found to be

$$\mathcal{C}_{0\eta} = x_2^2 + x_3^2, \qquad \mathcal{C}_{1\eta} = \frac{\sin(\eta x_4)}{\eta} x_3 - \frac{\cos(\eta x_4) - 1}{\eta} x_2 - x_1^2,$$

where it becomes clear that $\lim_{\eta\to 0} \mathcal{C}_{0\eta} = H_1$ and $\lim_{\eta\to 0} \mathcal{C}_{1\eta} = H_0$. In fact, if we denote the Casimir functions $\mathcal{C}_{0\eta}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{1\eta}$ by $H_{1\eta}$ and $H_{0\eta}$, respectively, then the dynamical systems associated with the Hamiltonian systems $(G_\eta, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta}, H_{0\eta})$ and $(G_\eta, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta}, H_{1\eta})$ coincide and define the deformed dynamical system D_η given by

$$\dot{x_1} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\sin(\eta x_4)}{\eta} x_2 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\cos(\eta x_4) - 1}{\eta} x_3, \quad \dot{x_2} = -x_1 x_3, \quad \dot{x_3} = x_1 x_2, \quad \dot{x_4} = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

In other words, this dynamical system D_η on the Lie group G_η is bi-Hamiltonian with respect to the compatible multiplicative Poisson structures $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta}$ and $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta}$. Indeed, this deformed bi-Hamiltonian system is completely integrable and the $\eta \to 0$ limit is just the D system (3.8). The preservation of the closed nature of the trajectories under deformation is clearly appreciated in Figure 1.



(A) (B)

FIGURE 1. (A) Closed trajectories of the Lorenz system (3.8) for $x_4 = 1$ and the initial data $x_1(0) = 1, x_2(0) = 2, x_3(0) = 3$ (black line) and of the deformed Lorenz system (3.12) with the same initial data, $x_4 = 1$, and $\eta = \frac{\pi}{4}$ (green), $\eta = -\frac{\pi}{4}$ (blue), $\eta = \frac{\pi}{8}$ (red), $\eta = -\frac{\pi}{8}$ (yellow). (B) The same figure as (A) but with initial data $x_1(0) = 1, x_2(0) = -1, x_3(0) = 0.5$.

4. COUPLED INTEGRABLE SYSTEMS AND ABELIAN REDUCTION

The next step consists in the construction of coupled integrable Hamiltonian systems on the product manifold $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$, which admit reduction –via the standard sum $+ : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$– to the bi-Hamiltonian system on $\mathbb{R}^n$ that has been presented in the previous section.

For this purpose, we will consider the product $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_i \oplus \{\cdot,\cdot\}_i$ of the linear Poisson structure $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_i$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with itself, $i = 0, 1$. Then, we obtain two linear Poisson structures on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ that, provided there is no risk of confusion, we will also denote by $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0$ and by $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_1$, respectively. Note that

$$rank\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0 = rank\{\cdot,\cdot\}_1 = 4r$$

in a dense open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover,

$$\{\mathcal{C}_i \circ pr_1, \mathcal{C}_i^j \circ pr_1, \mathcal{C}_i \circ pr_2, \mathcal{C}_i^j \circ pr_2\}_{j=1,\ldots,n-2r-1}$$

are Casimir functions for $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_i$, with $i = 0, 1$. Here, $pr_1 : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and $pr_2 : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ are the corresponding canonical projections.

In addition, we also consider the 'coproduct' [6] of the Hamiltonian functions H_0 and H_1, that is, the Hamiltonian functions on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by $H_0 \circ +$ and $H_1 \circ +$. Again, if there is not risk of confusion, we will use the same notation H_0 and H_1 for the previous functions.

We remark that H_0 (respectively, H_1) is a Casimir function for the Poisson bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_1$ (respectively, $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0$) on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Furthermore, we have that the sum $+ : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a Poisson epimorphism between the Poisson manifolds $(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_0 \oplus \{\cdot,\cdot\}_0)$ (respectively, $(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_1 \oplus \{\cdot,\cdot\}_1)$) and $(\mathbb{R}^n, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_0)$ (respectively, $(\mathbb{R}^n, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_1)$). This implies that the Hamiltonian systems $(\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0 \oplus \{\cdot,\cdot\}_0, H_0 \circ +)$ and $(\{\cdot,\cdot\}_1 \oplus \{\cdot,\cdot\}_1, H_1 \circ +)$ admit reduction, via $+ : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, to the bi-Hamiltonian system on $\mathbb{R}^n$ in Section 3.1. For this reason, the dynamical systems on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ are said to be quasi bi-Hamiltonian systems.

Finally, we have that

$$\{H_1 \circ +, H_0 \circ +, \varphi^k \circ +\}_{j=1,\ldots,n-2r-1 \text{ and } k=1,\ldots,r-1}$$

are functionally independent first integrals of the Hamiltonian system $(\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0 \oplus \{\cdot,\cdot\}_0, H_0 \circ +)$ that pairwise commute. The same holds for

$$\{H_0 \circ +, H_1 \circ +, \varphi^k \circ +\}_{j=1,\ldots,n-2r-1 \text{ and } k=1,\ldots,r-1}$$

and the Hamiltonian system $(\{\cdot,\cdot\}_1 \oplus \{\cdot,\cdot\}_1, H_1 \circ +)$. So, if $r = 1$ the Hamiltonian systems in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ are completely integrable. This holds in the two examples here presented.

4.1. **Non-deformed coupled Lorenz systems.** Let us denote by Π_0 and Π_1 the Poisson structures on $\mathbb{R}^4$ associated with the Poisson brackets $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0$ and $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_1$ given by (3.5) and (3.4), respectively, and by $(y, z) = ((y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4), (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4))$ the standard coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^4$.

Then, we can consider two different Poisson structures on $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^4$:

• The product of Π_0 with itself, that is, the Poisson bracket on $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^4$, which we also denote by $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0$, defined by

$$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll} \{y_1, y_2\}_0 & = & -\frac{y_3}{2}, & \{y_1, y_3\}_0 & = & \frac{y_2}{2}, & \{y_2, y_3\}_0 & = & 0, & \{\cdot, y_4\}_0 & = & 0, \\ \{z_1, z_2\}_0 & = & -\frac{z_3}{2}, & \{z_1, z_3\}_0 & = & \frac{z_2}{2}, & \{z_2, z_3\}_0 & = & 0, & \{\cdot, z_4\}_0 & = & 0. \end{array}$$

• The product of Π_1 with itself, that is, the Poisson bracket on $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^4$, which we also denote by $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_1$, given by

$$\begin{array}{rclrclrclrcl} \{y_1,y_2\}_1 &=& \frac{y_4}{4}, & \{y_1,y_3\}_1 &=& 0, & \{y_2,y_3\}_1 &=& -\frac{y_1}{2}, & \{\cdot,y_4\}_1 &=& 0,\\ \{z_1,z_2\}_1 &=& \frac{z_4}{4}, & \{z_1,z_3\}_1 &=& 0, & \{z_2,z_3\}_1 &=& -\frac{z_1}{2}, & \{\cdot,z_4\}_1 &=& 0. \end{array}$$

If $pr_i : \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}^4$, with $i \in \{1,2\}$, are the canonical projections then the Casimir functions for the Poisson brackets $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0$ and $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_1$ are

$$\mathcal{C}_0 \circ pr_1 = y_2^2 + y_3^2,\ \mathcal{C}_0 \circ pr_2 = z_2^2 + z_3^2,\ \mathcal{C}_0' \circ pr_1 = y_4,\ \mathcal{C}_0' \circ pr_2 = z_4,$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_1 \circ pr_1 = y_3 y_4 - y_1^2,\ \mathcal{C}_1 \circ pr_2 = z_3 z_4 - z_1^2,\ \mathcal{C}_1' \circ pr_1 = y_4,\ \mathcal{C}_1' \circ pr_2 = z_4,$$

respectively.

Now, we consider on $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^4$ the coproduct of the Hamiltonian functions H_0 and H_1 on $\mathbb{R}^4$, which we also denote by H_0 and H_1,

$$H_0 := \mathcal{C}_1 \circ + = (y_3+z_3)(y_4+z_4) - (y_1+z_1)^2, \qquad H_1 := \mathcal{C}_0 \circ + = (y_2+z_2)^2 + (y_3+z_3)^2.$$

Then, the Hamiltonian systems $(\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^4, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_0, H_0)$ and $(\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^4, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_1, H_1)$ are given by

$$\begin{array}{rclrclrclrcl} \dot{y}_1 &=& \frac{y_2}{2}(y_4+z_4), & \dot{y}_2 &=& -y_3(y_1+z_1), & \dot{y}_3 &=& y_2(y_1+z_1), & \dot{y}_4 &=& 0,\\ \dot{z}_1 &=& \frac{z_2}{2}(y_4+z_4), & \dot{z}_2 &=& -z_3(y_1+z_1), & \dot{z}_3 &=& z_2(y_1+z_1), & \dot{z}_4 &=& 0, \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{rclrclrclrcl} \dot{y}_1 &=& \frac{y_4}{2}(y_2+z_2), & \dot{y}_2 &=& -y_1(y_3+z_3), & \dot{y}_3 &=& y_1(y_2+z_2), & \dot{y}_4 &=& 0,\\ \dot{z}_1 &=& \frac{z_4}{2}(y_2+z_2), & \dot{z}_2 &=& -z_1(y_3+z_3), & \dot{z}_3 &=& z_1(y_2+z_2), & \dot{z}_4 &=& 0, \end{array}$$

respectively. As we know, these systems are completely integrable and they admit reduction, via the sum $+ : \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}^4$, to the bi-Hamiltonian system on $\mathbb{R}^4$ in Section 3.1.

This last result is very clear if we consider the new coordinates

$$(x,z) = ((x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4),(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4))$$

on $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^4$, with

$$x_i = y_i + z_i, \quad i = 1,2,3,4.$$

(x,z) are cluster coordinates in the terminology in [7].

In these new coordinates, we have the following expressions for the Poisson structures Π_0 and Π_1 on $\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^4$:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_0(x,z) &= -\frac{x_3}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \frac{x_2}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} - \frac{z_3}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} + \frac{z_2}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}\\ &\quad + \frac{z_3}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} - \frac{z_2}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} - \frac{z_3}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} + \frac{z_2}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3},\\ \Pi_1(x,z) &= \frac{x_4}{4}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \frac{z_4}{4}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} - \frac{x_1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} - \frac{z_4}{4}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\\ &\quad - \frac{z_1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3} + \frac{z_1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} - \frac{z_1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3} + \frac{z_4}{4}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}. \end{aligned}$$

The Casimir functions for the Poisson brackets $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0$ and $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_1$ read

$$\mathcal{C}_0 \circ pr_1 = (x_2-z_2)^2 + (x_3-z_3)^2,\ \mathcal{C}_0 \circ pr_2 = z_2^2 + z_3^2,\ \mathcal{C}_0' \circ pr_1 = x_4 - z_4,\ \mathcal{C}_0' \circ pr_2 = z_4,$$

and

$$\begin{array}{lllll} \mathcal{C}_1 \circ pr_1 &=& (x_3 - z_3)(x_4 - z_4) - (x_1 - z_1)^2, & \mathcal{C}_1 \circ pr_2 &= z_3 z_4 - z_1^2, \\ \mathcal{C}_1' \circ pr_1 &=& x_4 - z_4, & \mathcal{C}_1' \circ pr_2 &= z_4, \end{array}$$

respectively. Moreover, the Hamiltonian functions H_0 and H_1 are written in term of the collective variables x_i, namely

$$H_0 = x_3 x_4 - x_1^2, \qquad H_1 = x_2^2 + x_3^2.$$

Therefore, the two completely integrable Hamiltonian systems $(\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^4, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_0, H_0)$ and $(\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^4, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_1, H_1)$ turn out to be

$$\begin{array}{llllllllll} \dot{x_1} &=& \dfrac{x_4 x_2}{2}, & \dot{x_2} &= -x_1 x_3, & \dot{x_3} &= x_1 x_2, & \dot{x_4} &= 0, \\ \dot{z_1} &=& \dfrac{x_4 z_2}{2}, & \dot{z_2} &= -x_1 z_3, & \dot{z_3} &= x_1 z_2, & \dot{z_4} &= 0, \end{array} \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{llllllllll} \dot{x_1} &=& \dfrac{x_2 x_4}{2}, & \dot{x_2} &= -x_1 x_3, & \dot{x_3} &= x_1 x_2, & \dot{x_4} &= 0, \\ \dot{z_1} &=& \dfrac{x_2 z_4}{2}, & \dot{z_2} &= -x_3 z_1, & \dot{z_3} &= x_2 z_1, & \dot{z_4} &= 0, \end{array} \tag{4.2}$$

respectively.

If we consider the standard sum $+ : \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}^4$

$$+ ((x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4), (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)) = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4), \tag{4.3}$$

and by using (3.8), (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3), we directly deduce that the two completely integrable Hamiltonian systems $(\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^4, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_0, H_0)$ and $(\mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^4, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_1, H_1)$ admit reduction, via the sum $+ : \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^4 \to \mathbb{R}^4$, to the bi-Hamiltonian system D on $\mathbb{R}^4$ that has been considered in Section 3.1.

5. Coupled integrable deformations and non-abelian reduction

In this last step, we present how to construct two coupled completely integrable Hamiltonian systems on the product Lie group $G_\eta \times G_\eta$ which admit reduction, via the multiplication $\cdot_\eta : G_\eta \times G_\eta \to G_\eta$, to the same bi-Hamiltonian system on G_η that we denoted D_η (see Section 3.2).

For this purpose, we will mimic the 'undeformed' construction that we presented in the previous Section. Namely, we will consider the product $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{i\eta} \oplus \{\cdot,\cdot\}_{i\eta}$ of the multiplicative Poisson structure $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{i\eta}$ on G_η with itself, $i = 0, 1$. Then, we will obtain two multiplicative Poisson structures on $G_\eta \times G_\eta$ which, if there is not risk of confusion, we also denote by $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta}$ and by $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta}$, respectively. Note that

$$rank\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta} = rank\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta} = 4r$$

in a dense open subset of $G_\eta \times G_\eta$. Moreover,

$$\{\mathcal{C}_{i\eta} \circ pr_1, \mathcal{C}_{i\eta}^j \circ pr_1, \mathcal{C}_{i\eta} \circ pr_2, \mathcal{C}_{i\eta}^j \circ pr_2\}_{j=1,\ldots,n-2r-1}$$

are Casimir functions for $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{i\eta}$, with $i = 0, 1$. Here, $pr_1 : G_\eta \times G_\eta \to G_\eta$ and $pr_2 : G_\eta \times G_\eta \to G_\eta$ are the canonical projections.

In addition, we also consider the coproduct of the Hamiltonian functions $H_{0\eta}$ and $H_{1\eta}$, that is, the Hamiltonian functions on $G_\eta \times G_\eta$ defined by $H_0 \circ \cdot_\eta$ and $H_1 \circ \cdot_\eta$, where $\cdot_\eta : G_\eta \times G_\eta \to G_\eta$

is the multiplication in G_η. If there is not risk of confusion, we will use the same notation $H_{0\eta}$ and $H_{1\eta}$ for the previous functions.

We remark that $H_{0\eta}$ (respectively, $H_{1\eta}$) is a Casimir function for the Poisson bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta}$ (respectively, $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta}$) on $G_\eta \times G_\eta$. Furthermore, we have that the multiplication $\cdot_\eta : G_\eta \times G_\eta \to G_\eta$ is a Poisson epimorphism between the Poisson manifolds $(G_\eta \times G_\eta, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta} \oplus \{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta})$ (respectively, $(G_\eta \times G_\eta, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta} \oplus \{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta})$) and $(G_\eta, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta})$ (respectively, $(G_\eta, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta})$). This implies that the Hamiltonian systems $(\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta} \oplus \{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta}, H_{0\eta} \circ \cdot_\eta)$ and $(\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta} \oplus \{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta}, H_{1\eta} \circ \cdot_\eta)$ admit reduction, via $\cdot_\eta : G_\eta \times G_\eta \to G_\eta$, to the bi-Hamiltonian system D_η on G_η (see Section 3.2). So, as in Section 4, the dynamical systems on $G_\eta \times G_\eta$ are quasi bi-Hamiltonian systems.

Note that the Hamiltonian systems on $G_\eta \times G_\eta$ are η-deformations of those systems in G_η considered in Section 3.2, since

$$\lim_{\eta\to 0}(\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta} \oplus \{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta}) = \{\cdot,\cdot\}_0 \oplus \{\cdot,\cdot\}_0, \quad \lim_{\eta\to 0}(\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta} \oplus \{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta} = \{\cdot,\cdot\}_1 \oplus \{\cdot,\cdot\}_1$$

and

$$\lim_{\eta\to 0}(H_{0\eta} \circ \cdot_\eta) = H_0 \circ +, \quad \lim_{\eta\to 0}(H_{1\eta} \circ \cdot_\eta) = H_1 \circ +.$$

Finally, we have that

$$\{H_{1\eta} \circ \cdot_\eta, H_{0\eta} \circ \cdot_\eta, \varphi^k \circ \cdot_\eta\}_{j=1,\dots,n-2r-1 \text{ and } k=1,\dots,r-1}$$

are functionally independent first integrals of the Hamiltonian system $(\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta} \oplus \{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta}, H_{0\eta} \circ \cdot_\eta)$ that pairwise commute, and the same holds for

$$\{H_{0\eta} \circ \cdot_\eta, H_{1\eta} \circ \cdot_\eta, \varphi^k \circ \cdot_\eta\}_{j=1,\dots,n-2r-1 \text{ and } k=1,\dots,r-1}$$

and the Hamiltonian system $(\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta} \oplus \{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta}, H_{1\eta} \circ \cdot_\eta)$. So, if $r = 1$ the Hamiltonian systems in $G_\eta \times G_\eta$ are completely integrable. This is the case in the examples which are presented in this paper.

5.1. **Deformed coupled Lorenz systems.** Denote by $\Pi_{0\eta}$ and $\Pi_{1\eta}$ the multiplicative Poisson structures on the Lie group G_η associated with the Poisson brackets $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta}$ and $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta}$ given by (3.10) and (3.11), respectively, and by $(y, z) = ((y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4), (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4))$ the standard coordinates on $G_\eta \times G_\eta \simeq \mathbb{R}^4 \times \mathbb{R}^4$.

Then, we can consider two multiplicative Poisson structures on $G_\eta \times G_\eta$:

• The product of $\Pi_{0\eta}$ with itself, that is, the Poisson bracket on $G_\eta \times G_\eta$, which we also denote by $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta}$, defined by

$$\{y_1, y_2\}_{0\eta} = -\frac{y_3}{2}, \qquad \{y_1, y_3\}_{0\eta} = \frac{y_2}{2}, \qquad \{z_1, z_2\}_{0\eta} = -\frac{z_3}{2}, \qquad \{z_1, z_3\}_{0\eta} = \frac{z_2}{2},$$

the rest of the Poisson brackets of the coordinate functions being zero and

• The product of $\Pi_{1\eta}$ with itself, that is, the Poisson bracket on $G_\eta \times G_\eta$, which we also denote by $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta}$, given by the non-vanishing Poisson brackets

$$\begin{aligned}
\{y_1, y_2\}_{1\eta} &= \frac{\sin(\eta y_4)}{4\eta}, & \{y_1, y_3\}_{1\eta} &= \frac{\cos(\eta y_4) - 1}{4\eta}, & \{y_2, y_3\}_{1\eta} &= -\frac{y_1}{2},\\
\{z_1, z_2\}_{1\eta} &= \frac{\sin(\eta z_4)}{4\eta}, & \{z_1, z_3\}_{1\eta} &= \frac{\cos(\eta z_4) - 1}{4\eta}, & \{z_2, z_3\}_{1\eta} &= -\frac{z_1}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $pr_i : G_\eta \times G_\eta \to G_\eta$, with $i \in \{1,2\}$, are the canonical projections then the Casimir functions for the Poisson brackets $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta}$ and $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta}$ are

$$\mathcal{C}_{0\eta} \circ pr_1 = y_2^2 + y_3^2, \qquad \mathcal{C}_{0\eta} \circ pr_2 = z_2^2 + z_3^2, \qquad \mathcal{C}'_{0\eta} \circ pr_1 = y_4, \qquad \mathcal{C}'_{0\eta} \circ pr_2 = z_4,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_{1\eta} \circ pr_1 &= \frac{\sin(\eta y_4)}{\eta} y_3 - \frac{\cos(\eta y_4) - 1}{\eta} y_2 - y_1^2, \\
\mathcal{C}_{1\eta} \circ pr_2 &= \frac{\sin(\eta z_4)}{\eta} z_3 - \frac{\cos(\eta z_4) - 1}{\eta} z_2 - z_1^2, \\
\mathcal{C}'_{1\eta} \circ pr_1 &= y_4, \quad \mathcal{C}'_{1\eta} \circ pr_2 = z_4,
\end{aligned}$$

respectively.

Now, we consider on $G_\eta \times G_\eta$ the coproduct of the Hamiltonian functions $H_{0\eta}$ and $H_{1\eta}$ on G_η, which we also denote by $H_{0\eta}$ and $H_{1\eta}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{0\eta} := \mathcal{C}_{1\eta} \circ \cdot_\eta &= \left(\frac{1 - \cos(\eta(y_4 + z_4))}{\eta}\right) y_2 + \frac{\sin(\eta(y_4 + z_4))}{\eta} y_3 \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{\cos(\eta y_4) - \cos(\eta z_4)}{\eta}\right) z_2 + \left(\frac{\sin(\eta y_4) + \sin(\eta z_4)}{\eta}\right) z_3 - (y_1 + z_1)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$H_{1\eta} := \mathcal{C}_{0\eta} \circ \cdot_\eta = y_2^2 + y_3^2 + z_2^2 + z_3^2 + 2(y_2 z_2 + y_3 z_3)\cos(\eta y_4) + 2(y_2 z_3 - y_3 z_2)\sin(\eta y_4).$$

The Hamiltonian system $(G_\eta \times G_\eta, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta}, H_{0\eta})$ can be straightforwardly computed and reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{y}_1 &= \left(\frac{\sin(\eta(y_4 + z_4))}{\eta}\right) \frac{y_2}{2} + \left(\frac{\cos(\eta(y_4 + z_4)) - 1}{\eta}\right) \frac{y_3}{2}, \\
\dot{y}_2 &= -y_3(y_1 + z_1), \quad \dot{y}_3 = y_2(y_1 + z_1), \quad \dot{y}_4 = 0, \\
\dot{z}_1 &= \left(\frac{\sin(\eta y_4) + \sin(\eta z_4)}{2\eta}\right) z_2 + \left(\frac{\cos(\eta z_4) - \cos(\eta y_4)}{2\eta}\right) z_3, \\
\dot{z}_2 &= -z_3(y_1 + z_1), \quad \dot{z}_3 = z_2(y_1 + z_1), \quad \dot{z}_4 = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

while $(G_\eta \times G_\eta, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta}, H_{1\eta})$ gives rise to the dynamical system

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{y}_1 &= \left(\frac{\sin(\eta y_4)}{2\eta}\right) y_2 + \left(\frac{\cos(\eta y_4) - 1}{2\eta}\right) y_3 + \left(\frac{\sin(\eta y_4)}{2\eta}\right) z_2 - \left(\frac{\cos(\eta y_4) - 1}{2\eta}\right) z_3, \\
\dot{y}_2 &= -y_1(y_3 - z_2 \sin(\eta y_4) + z_3 \cos(\eta y_4)), \\
\dot{y}_3 &= y_1(y_2 + z_2 \cos(\eta y_4) + z_3 \sin(\eta y_4)), \\
\dot{y}_4 &= 0, \\
\dot{z}_1 &= \left(\frac{\sin(\eta(y_4 + z_4)) - \sin(\eta y_4)}{2\eta}\right) y_2 + \left(\frac{\cos(\eta(y_4 + z_4)) - \cos(\eta y_4)}{2\eta}\right) y_3 \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{\sin(\eta z_4)}{2\eta}\right) z_2 + \left(\frac{\cos(\eta z_4) - 1}{2\eta}\right) z_3 \\
\dot{z}_2 &= -z_1(\sin(\eta y_4) y_2 + \cos(\eta y_4) y_3 + z_3), \\
\dot{z}_3 &= z_1(\cos(\eta y_4) y_2 - \sin(\eta y_4) y_3 + z_2), \\
\dot{z}_4 &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

As we know, both systems are completely integrable and they admit reduction, via the multiplication $\cdot_\eta : G_\eta \times G_\eta \to G_\eta$, to the bi-Hamiltonian system D_η on G_η in Section 3.2. Again, this last result becomes apparent if we consider the new coordinates $(x, z) = ((x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4), (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4))$ on $G_\eta \times G_\eta$, with $x = y \cdot_\eta z$.

It is straightforward to prove that in these new coordinates, we have the following expressions for the Poisson structures $\Pi_{0\eta}$ and $\Pi_{1\eta}$ on $G_\eta \times G_\eta$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_{0\eta}(x,z) &= -\frac{x_3}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}+\frac{x_2}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}-\frac{z_3}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}+\frac{z_2}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}\\ &\quad+\left(\cos(\eta(x_4-z_4))\frac{z_3}{2}-\sin(\eta(x_4-z_4))\frac{z_2}{2}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}+\frac{z_2}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}\\ &\quad-\left(\cos(\eta(x_4-z_4))\frac{z_2}{2}+\sin(\eta(x_4-z_4))\frac{z_3}{2}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}-\frac{z_3}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_{1\eta}(x,z) &= \frac{\sin(\eta x_4)}{4\eta}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}+\left(\frac{\cos(\eta x_4)-1}{4\eta}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}-\frac{x_1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}\\ &\quad+\frac{\sin(\eta z_4)}{4\eta}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}+\left(\frac{\cos(\eta z_4)-1}{4\eta}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}-\frac{z_1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}\\ &\quad+\left(\frac{\sin(\eta(x_4-z_4))-\sin(\eta x_4)}{4\eta}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}+\frac{\sin(\eta z_4)}{4\eta}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}\\ &\quad+\frac{z_1}{2}\sin(\eta(x_4-z_4))\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}-\frac{z_1}{2}\cos(\eta(x_4-z_4))\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}\\ &\quad+\left(\frac{\cos(\eta(x_4-z_4))-\cos(\eta x_4)}{4\eta}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}+\frac{z_1}{2}\cos(\eta(x_4-z_4))\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}\\ &\quad+\frac{z_1}{2}\sin(\eta(x_4-z_4))\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}+\left(\frac{\cos(\eta z_4)-1}{4\eta}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}.\end{aligned}$$

The Casimir functions of the Poisson bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta}$ are

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_{0\eta}\circ pr_1 &= x_2^2+x_3^2+z_2^2+z_3^2-2(x_2z_2+x_3z_3)\cos(\eta(x_4-z_4))\\ &\quad+2(x_3z_2-x_2z_3)\sin(\eta(x_4-z_4)),\\ \mathcal{C}_{0\eta}\circ pr_2 &= z_2^2+z_3^2,\quad \mathcal{C}'_{0\eta}\circ pr_1 = x_4-z_4,\quad \mathcal{C}'_{0\eta}\circ pr_2 = z_4,\end{aligned}$$

and for $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_{1\eta}\circ pr_1 &= \frac{\sin(\eta(x_4-z_4))}{\eta}(x_3-z_3)-\left(\frac{\cos(\eta(x_4-z_4)-1}{\eta}\right)(x_2+z_2)-(x_1-z_1)^2,\\ \mathcal{C}_{1\eta}\circ pr_2 &= \frac{\sin(\eta z_4)}{\eta}z_3-\left(\frac{\cos(\eta z_4)-1}{\eta}\right)z_2-z_1^2,\\ \mathcal{C}'_{1\eta}\circ pr_1 &= x_4-z_4,\quad \mathcal{C}'_{1\eta}\circ pr_2 = z_4.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the Hamiltonian functions $H_{0\eta}$ and $H_{1\eta}$ read

$$H_{0\eta}=\frac{\sin(\eta x_4)}{\eta}x_3-\left(\frac{\cos(\eta x_4)-1}{\eta}\right)x_2-x_1^2,\qquad H_{1\eta}=x_2^2+x_3^2.$$

In these coordinates, the two completely integrable Hamiltonian systems $(G_\eta \times G_\eta, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta}, H_{0\eta})$ and $(G_\eta \times G_\eta, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta}, H_{1\eta})$ become

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \dot{x_1} & = & \frac{1}{2}\frac{\sin(\eta x_4)}{\eta}x_2 + \left(\frac{\cos(\eta x_4)-1}{2\eta}\right)x_3, \quad \dot{x_2} = -x_1x_3, \quad \dot{x_3} = x_1x_2, \quad \dot{x_4} = 0, \\ \dot{z_1} & = & \left(\frac{\sin(\eta(x_4-z_4))+\sin(\eta z_4)}{2\eta}\right)z_2 + \left(\frac{\cos(\eta(z_4))-\cos(\eta(x_4-z_4)}{2\eta}\right)z_3, \\ \dot{z_2} & = & -x_1z_3, \quad \dot{z_3} = x_1z_2, \quad \dot{z_4} = 0, \end{array} \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \dot{x_1} & = & \frac{1}{2}\frac{\sin(\eta x_4)}{\eta}x_2 + \left(\frac{\cos(\eta x_4)-1}{2\eta}\right)x_3, \quad \dot{x_2} = -x_1x_3, \quad \dot{x_3} = x_1x_2, \quad \dot{x_4} = 0, \\ \dot{z_1} & = & \left(\sin(\eta x_4) - \frac{\sin(\eta(x_4-z_4))}{2\eta}\right)x_2 + \left(\frac{\cos(\eta(x_4))-\cos(\eta(x_4-z_4)}{2\eta}\right)x_3, \\ \dot{z_2} & = & -z_1(x_2\sin(\eta(x_4-z_4)) + x_3\cos(\eta(x_4-z_4))), \\ \dot{z_3} & = & z_1(x_2\cos(\eta(x_4-z_4)) - x_3\sin(\eta(x_4-z_4))), \\ \dot{z_4} & = & 0, \end{array} \tag{5.2}$$

respectively. Again, the multiplication $\cdot_\eta : G_\eta \times G_\eta \to G_\eta$ leads to the projection

$$\cdot_\eta\left((x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4),(z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4)\right) = (x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4). \tag{5.3}$$

So, by recalling (3.12), (5.1), (5.2) and (5.3), we directly deduce that the two completely integrable Hamiltonian systems $(G_\eta \times G_\eta, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta}, H_{0\eta})$ and $(G_\eta \times G_\eta, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta}, H_{1\eta})$ admit reduction, via the multiplication $\cdot_\eta : G_\eta \times G_\eta \to G_\eta$, to the bi-Hamiltonian system D_η on G_η considered in Section 3.2.

6. Another example: an Euler top system

In this section, we will discuss another example: an Euler top system. We will follow the same steps as in the Lorenz system. So, first of all, we will present the dynamical system and its bi-Hamiltonian structure.

6.1. **The system D and its bi-Hamiltonian structure.** We consider the following completely integrable system D on $\mathbb{R}^3$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \dot{x_1} & = & x_2^2 - x_3^2, \\ \dot{x_2} & = & x_1(2x_3 - x_2), \\ \dot{x_3} & = & x_1(x_3 - 2x_2). \end{array} \tag{6.1}$$

This system is equivalent to a particular case of the $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ Euler top. The $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ Euler top is a well-known three dimensional bi- hamiltonian system (see [13]) belonging to the realm of classical mechanics [20].

In fact, the previous system is bi-Hamiltonian with respect to the Lie-Poisson structures $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0$ and $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_1$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$ which are characterized by

$$\begin{array}{rclrclrcl} \{x_1,x_2\}_0 & = & -x_3, & \{x_1,x_3\}_0 & = & x_2, & \{x_2,x_3\}_0 & = & -x_1, \\ \{x_1,x_2\}_1 & = & -x_2, & \{x_1,x_3\}_1 & = & x_3, & \{x_2,x_3\}_1 & = & -2x_1. \end{array} \tag{6.2}$$

The Casimirs for these Poisson structures are

$$\mathcal{C}_0 = -\frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2) \text{ and } \mathcal{C}_1 = x_1^2 + x_2 x_3.$$

It is easy to prove that the dynamics of the Hamiltonian systems $(\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0, H_0 := \mathcal{C}_1)$ and $(\{\cdot,\cdot\}_1, H_1 := \mathcal{C}_0)$ is just the same as the system D.

The real Lie algebras corresponding to $\{.,.\}_0$ and $\{.,.\}_1$ are $so(3)$ and $sl(2;R)$, respectively. The structure equations for $so(3)$ and $sl(2,\mathbb{R})$ are

$$[X_1, X_2]_0 = -X_3, \quad [X_1, X_3]_0 = X_2, \quad [X_2, X_3]_0 = -X_1,$$
$$[X_1, X_2]_1 = -X_2, \quad [X_1, X_3]_1 = X_3, \quad [X_2, X_3]_1 = -2X_1.$$

We consider the family of compatible Lie-Poisson structures:

$$\{\cdot,\cdot\}_\alpha = (1-\alpha)\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0 + \alpha\{\cdot,\cdot\}_1, \quad \text{with } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

which are characterized by

$$\begin{array}{rclcrcl} \{x_1, x_2\}_\alpha &=& (\alpha-1)x_3 - \alpha x_2, & & \{x_1, x_3\}_\alpha &=& (1-\alpha)x_2 + \alpha x_3, \\ \{x_2, x_3\}_\alpha &=& -(1+\alpha)x_1. & & & & \end{array} \tag{6.3}$$

If $\{X_1, X_2, X_3\}$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^3$ then the corresponding Lie bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]_\alpha$ on $\mathbb{R}^3$ is given by

$$\begin{array}{rclcrcl} [X_1, X_2]_\alpha &=& (\alpha-1)X_3 - \alpha X_2, & & [X_1, X_3]\alpha &=& (1-\alpha)X_2 + \alpha X_3, \\ [X_1, X_3]_\alpha &=& -(1+\alpha)X_1. & & & & \end{array}$$

So, we have a family of Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, [\cdot,\cdot]_\alpha)$.

6.2. **Construction of the bi-Hamiltonian system D_η.** First of all, we will consider a family of non-trivial admissible 1-cocycles for the previous Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, [\cdot,\cdot]_\alpha)$.

An straightforward computation proves that such a family is given by:

$$\psi_\eta(X_1) = 0, \;\; \psi_\eta(X_2) = \eta X_2 \wedge X_1, \;\; \psi_\eta(X_3) = \eta X_3 \wedge X_1.$$

We have the family of Lie bialgebras $(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \delta_\eta)$. The Lie bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]^*_\eta$ on $(\mathbb{R}^3)^* \simeq \mathbb{R}^3$ obtained from the dual cocommutator map is:

$$[X^1, X^2]^*_\eta = -\eta X^2, \quad [X^1, X^3]^*_\eta = -\eta X^3, \quad [X^2, X^3]^*_\eta = 0.$$

So, $(\mathbb{R}^3, [\cdot,\cdot]^*_\eta)$ is just the book Lie algebra.

Now, let G_η be a connected simply-connected Lie group with Lie algebra $(\mathbb{R}^3, [\cdot,\cdot]^*_\eta)$. Then, one may prove that G_η is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^3$ and the multiplication of two elements $g = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ and $g' = (x'_1, x'_2, x'_3)$ of $\mathbb{R}^3$ is given by

$$g._\eta g' = (x_1 + x'_1, x_2 + x'_2 e^{-\eta x_1}, x_3 + x'_3 e^{-\eta x_1}).$$

A basis $\{\overleftarrow{X}^1, \overleftarrow{X}^2, \overleftarrow{X}^3\}$ (resp., $\{\overrightarrow{X}^1, \overrightarrow{X}^2, \overrightarrow{X}^3\}$) of left-invariant (resp., right-invariant) vector fields is

$$\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, e^{-\eta x_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, e^{-\eta x_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}\}$$

(resp., $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - \eta x_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} - \eta x_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}\}$).

The adjoint action $Ad : G_\eta \times \mathfrak{g}_\eta \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_\eta$ for Lie group G_η is as follows:

$$Ad_g(X^1) = \eta(x_2 X^2 + x_3 X^3) + X^1, \quad Ad_g(X^2) = e^{-\eta x_1} X^2, \quad Ad_g(X^3) = e^{-\eta x_1} X^3.$$

Next, as in the case of the Lorenz system, we will introduce a Poisson-Lie group structure on G_η.

As we know, a family of non-trivial admissible 1-cocycles for the Lie algebra $(\mathbb{R}^3, [\cdot,\cdot]^*_\eta)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_\alpha(X^1) &= -(1+\alpha)X^1\wedge X^3, & \psi_\alpha(X^2) &= -\alpha X^1\wedge X^2+(1-\alpha)X^1\wedge X^3,\\ \psi_\alpha(X^3) &= (\alpha-1)X^1\wedge X^2+\alpha X^1\wedge X^3.\end{aligned}$$

Applying the same process as in the previous example (see Section 3.2.2), we deduce that the corresponding compatible multiplicative Poisson brackets $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{\alpha\eta}$ on G_η are characterized by

$$\begin{aligned}\{x_1,x_2\}_{\alpha\eta} &= (\alpha-1)x_3-\alpha x_2,\\ \{x_1,x_3\}_{\alpha\eta} &= (1-\alpha)x_2+\alpha x_3,\\ \{x_2,x_3\}_{\alpha\eta} &= \eta(\alpha-1)(\frac{x_2^2}{2}+\frac{x_3^2}{2})-\eta\alpha x_2x_3+(1+\alpha)\frac{e^{-2\eta x_1}-1}{2\eta}.\end{aligned}$$

As expected, $\lim_{\eta\to 0}\{.,.\}_{\alpha\eta}=\{.,.\}_\alpha$ and it means that we have a η-deformation of the Lie-Poisson bracket (6.3).

In the cases when $\alpha=0$ and $\alpha=1$, the η-deformations $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta}$ and $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta}$ of the Lie-Poisson brackets $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0$ and $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_1$ have the form

$$\begin{aligned}\{x_1,x_2\}_{0\eta} &= -x_3, & \{x_1,x_3\}_{0\eta} &= x_2,\\ \{x_2,x_3\}_{0\eta} &= -\eta(\frac{x_2^2}{2}+\frac{x_3^2}{2})+\frac{e^{-2\eta x_1}-1}{2\eta}.\end{aligned}\tag{6.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\{x_1,x_2\}_{1\eta} &= -x_2, & \{x_1,x_3\}_{1\eta} &= x_3,\\ \{x_2,x_3\}_{1\eta} &= -\eta x_2x_3+\frac{e^{-2\eta x_1}-1}{\eta}.\end{aligned}\tag{6.5}$$

Casimir functions for the previous two multiplicative Poisson structures are:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_{0\eta} &= -e^{\eta x_1}\frac{x_2^2+x_3^2}{2}-\frac{e^{\eta x_1}+e^{-\eta x_1}-2}{2\eta^2},\\ \mathcal{C}_{1\eta} &= e^{\eta x_1}(x_2x_3)+\frac{e^{\eta x_1}+e^{-\eta x_1}-2}{\eta^2}.\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $\lim_{\eta\to 0}\mathcal{C}_{0\eta}=\mathcal{C}_0$ and $\lim_{\eta\to 0}\mathcal{C}_{1\eta}=\mathcal{C}_1$. If we denote the Casimir functions $\mathcal{C}_{0\eta}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{1\eta}$ by $H_{1\eta}$ and $H_{0\eta}$, respectively, then the dynamical systems associated with the Hamiltonian systems $(\mathbb{R}^3,\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta},H_{0\eta})$ and $(\mathbb{R}^3,\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta},H_{1\eta})$ coincide. In other words, the dynamical system on the Lie group G_η

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x_1} &= e^{\eta x_1}(x_2^2-x_3^2),\\ \dot{x_2} &= \eta e^{\eta x_1}x_2x_3^2-\frac{1}{2}\eta e^{\eta x_1}(x_2^3-x_2x_3^2)+\frac{e^{\eta x_1}-e^{-\eta x_1}}{2\eta}(2x_3-x_2),\\ \dot{x_3} &= -\eta e^{\eta x_1}x_2^2x_3+\frac{1}{2}\eta e^{\eta x_1}(x_2^2x_3+x_3^3)+\frac{e^{\eta x_1}-e^{-\eta x_1}}{2\eta}(x_3-2x_2).\end{aligned}\tag{6.6}$$

is bi-Hamiltonian with respect to the compatible multiplicative Poisson structures $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta}$ and $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta}$.

From the previous considerations, we also deduce that the bi-Hamiltonian system is completely integrable.

Finally, as we expected, the limit when η approaches to zero of (6.6) is just the bi-Hamiltonian system (6.1).

6.3. **Non-deformed coupled Euler top systems.** Denote by Π_0 and Π_1 the Poisson structures on $\mathbb{R}^3$ associated with the Poisson brackets $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0$ and $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_1$ given by (6.2), and by $(y,z)=((y_1,y_2,y_3),(z_1,z_2,z_3))$ the standard coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3$.

Then, we can consider two Poisson structures on $\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3$:

• The product of Π_0 with itself, that is, the Poisson bracket on $\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3$, which we also denote by $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0$, defined by

$$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\{y_1,y_2\}_0 &=& -y_3, & \{y_1,y_3\}_0 &=& y_2, & \{y_2,y_3\}_0 &=& -y_1,\\ \{z_1,z_2\}_0 &=& -z_3, & \{z_1,z_3\}_0 &=& z_2, & \{z_2,z_3\}_0 &=& -z_1.\end{array}$$

• The product of Π_1 with itself, that is, the Poisson bracket on $\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3$, which we also denote by $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_1$, given by

$$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\{y_1,y_2\}_1 &=& -y_2, & \{y_1,y_3\}_1 &=& y_3, & \{y_2,y_3\}_1 &=& -2y_1,\\ \{z_1,z_2\}_1 &=& -z_2, & \{z_1,z_3\}_1 &=& z_3, & \{z_2,z_3\}_1 &=& -2z_1.\end{array}$$

If $pr_i:\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\to\mathbb{R}^3$, with $i\in\{1,2\}$, are the canonical projections then the Casimir functions for the Poisson brackets $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0$ and $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_1$ are

$$\mathcal{C}_0\circ pr_1=-\frac{1}{2}(y_1^2+y_2^2+y_3^2),\ \mathcal{C}_0\circ pr_2=-\frac{1}{2}(z_1^2+z_2^2+z_3^2),$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_1\circ pr_1=y_1^2+y_2y_3,\ \mathcal{C}_1\circ pr_2=z_1^2+z_2z_3.$$

respectively.

Now, we consider on $\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3$ the coproduct of the Hamiltonian functions H_0 and H_1 on $\mathbb{R}^3$, which we also denote by H_0 and H_1,

$$\begin{array}{lllll}H_0 &:=& \mathcal{C}_1\circ + &=& (y_1+z_1)^2+(y_2+z_2)(y_3+z_3),\\ H_1 &:=& \mathcal{C}_0\circ + &=& -\frac{1}{2}\left[(y_1+z_1)^2+(y_2+z_2)^2+(y_3+z_3)^2\right].\end{array}$$

Then, the Hamiltonian systems $(\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3,\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0,H_0)$ and $(\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3,\{\cdot,\cdot\}_1,H_1)$ are given by

$$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\dot{y}_1 &=& y_2(y_2+z_2)-y_3(y_3+z_3), & \dot{z}_1 &=& z_2(y_2+z_2)-z_3(y_3+z_3),\\ \dot{y}_2 &=& 2y_3(y_1+z_1)-y_1(y_2+z_2), & \dot{z}_2 &=& 2z_3(y_1+z_1)-z_1(y_2+z_2),\\ \dot{y}_3 &=& y_1(y_3+z_3)-2y_2(y_1+z_1), & \dot{z}_3 &=& z_1(y_3+z_3)-2z_2(y_1+z_1),\end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\dot{y}_1 &=& y_2(y_2+z_2)-y_3(y_3+z_3), & \dot{z}_1 &=& z_2(y_2+z_2)-z_3(y_3+z_3),\\ \dot{y}_2 &=& 2y_1(y_3+z_3)-y_2(y_1+z_1), & \dot{z}_2 &=& 2z_1(y_3+z_3)-z_2(y_1+z_1),\\ \dot{y}_3 &=& y_3(y_1+z_1)-2y_1(y_2+z_2), & \dot{z}_3 &=& z_3(y_1+z_1)-2z_1(y_2+z_2),\end{array}$$

respectively.

As we know, these systems are completely integrable and they admit reduction, via the sum $+:\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\to\mathbb{R}^3$, to the bi-Hamiltonian system on $\mathbb{R}^3$ in Section 6.1.

This last result is very clear if we consider the new coordinates $(x,z)=((x_1,x_2,x_3),(z_1,z_2,z_3))$ on $\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3$, with

$$x_i=y_i+z_i,\quad i=1,2,3.$$

In these new coordinates, we have the following expressions for the Poisson structures Π_0 and Π_1 on $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_0(x,z) &= -x_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + x_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} - x_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} - z_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}\\ &\quad +z_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3} - z_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3} + z_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} - z_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\\ &\quad +z_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} - z_3\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} + z_2\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3} - z_1\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_1(x,z) &= -x_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + x_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} - 2x_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} - z_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}\\ &\quad +z_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3} - 2z_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3} + z_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} - z_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\\ &\quad +2z_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} - z_2\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} + z_3\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3} - 2z_1\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}.\end{aligned}$$

The Casimir functions of the Poisson brackets $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_0$ and $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_1$:

$$\mathcal{C}_0 \circ pr_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\left[(x_1-z_1)^2+(x_2-z_2)^2+(x_3-z_3)^2\right],\quad \mathcal{C}_0\circ pr_2 = -\frac{1}{2}(z_1^2+z_2^2+z_3^2),$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_1 \circ pr_1 = (x_1-z_1)^2+(x_2-z_2)(x_3-z_3),\qquad \mathcal{C}_1\circ pr_2 = z_1^2+z_2z_3,$$

respectively.

Moreover, as in the case of the Lorenz system, the Hamiltonian functions H_0 and H_1 are written in terms of the collective variables x_i, namely

$$H_0 = x_1^2+x_2x_3,\quad H_1 = -\frac{1}{2}(x_1^2+x_2^2+x_3^2).$$

Therefore, the two completely integrable Hamiltonian systems $(\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_0, H_0)$ and $(\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_1, H_1)$ turn out be

$$\begin{array}{lllllllll} \dot{x_1} &=& x_2^2-x_3^2 & \dot{x_2} &=& x_1(2x_3-x_2) & \dot{x_3} &=& x_1(x_3-2x_2)\\ \dot{z_1} &=& x_2z_2-x_3z_3 & \dot{z_2} &=& 2x_1z_3-x_2z_1 & \dot{z_3} &=& x_3z_1-2x_1z_2 \end{array} \tag{6.7}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{lllllllll} \dot{x_1} &=& x_2^2-x_3^2 & \dot{x_2} &=& x_1(2x_3-x_2) & \dot{x_3} &=& x_1(x_3-2x_2)\\ \dot{z_1} &=& x_2z_2-x_3z_3 & \dot{z_2} &=& 2x_3z_1-x_1z_2 & \dot{z_3} &=& x_1z_3-2x_2z_1 \end{array} \tag{6.8}$$

respectively.

Finally, the standard sum $+ : \mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\to\mathbb{R}^3$ is given, in the new variables (x,z), as follows

$$+((x_1,x_2,x_3),(z_1,z_2,z_3)) = (x_1,x_2,x_3). \tag{6.9}$$

So, using (6.1), (6.7), (6.8) and (6.9), we directly deduce that the two completely integrable Hamiltonian systems $(\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_0, H_0)$ and $(\mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_1, H_1)$ admit reduction, via the sum $+ : \mathbb{R}^3\times\mathbb{R}^3\to\mathbb{R}^3$, to the bi-Hamiltonian system on $\mathbb{R}^3$ considered in Section 6.1.

6.4. **Deformed coupled Euler top systems.** Denote by $\Pi_{0\eta}$ and $\Pi_{1\eta}$ the multiplicative Poisson structures on the Lie group G_η associated with the Poisson brackets $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta}$ and $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta}$ given by (6.4) and (6.5), respectively, and by $(y,z) = ((y_1,y_2,y_3),(z_1,z_2,z_3))$ the standard coordinates on $G_\eta \times G_\eta \simeq \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$.

Then, we can consider the multiplicative Poisson structures on $G_\eta \times G_\eta$:

• The product of $\Pi_{0\eta}$ with itself, that is, the Poisson bracket on $G_\eta \times G_\eta$, which we also denote by $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta}$, characterized by

$$\begin{array}{rclcrcl}
\{y_1,y_2\}_{0\eta} &=& -y_3, & & \{y_1,y_3\}_{0\eta} &=& y_2,\\
\{y_2,y_3\}_{0\eta} &=& -\eta(\frac{y_2^2}{2}+\frac{y_3^2}{2}) + \dfrac{e^{-2\eta y_1}-1}{2\eta}, & & & &\\
\{z_1,z_2\}_{0\eta} &=& -z_3, & & \{z_1,z_3\}_{0\eta} &=& z_2,\\
\{z_2,z_3\}_{0\eta} &=& -\eta(\frac{z_2^2}{2}+\frac{z_3^2}{2}) + \dfrac{e^{-2\eta z_1}-1}{2\eta}. & & & &
\end{array}$$

• The product of $\Pi_{1\eta}$ with itself, that is, the Poisson bracket on $G_\eta \times G_\eta$, which we also denote by $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta}$, characterized by

$$\begin{array}{rclcrcl}
\{y_1,y_2\}_{1\eta} &=& -y_2, & & \{y_1,y_3\}_{1\eta} &=& y_3,\\
\{y_2,y_3\}_{1\eta} &=& -\eta y_2y_3 + \dfrac{e^{-2\eta y_1}-1}{\eta}, & & & &\\
\{z_1,z_2\}_{1\eta} &=& -z_2, & & \{z_1,z_3\}_{1\eta} &=& z_3,\\
\{z_2,z_3\}_{1\eta} &=& -\eta z_2z_3 + \dfrac{e^{-2\eta z_1}-1}{\eta}. & & & &
\end{array}$$

If $pr_i : G_\eta \times G_\eta \to G_\eta$, with $i \in \{1,2\}$, are the canonical projections then the Casimir functions for the Poisson brackets $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta}$ and $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta}$ are

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\mathcal{C}_{0\eta}\circ pr_1 &=& -e^{\eta y_1}\dfrac{y_2^2+y_3^2}{2} - \dfrac{e^{\eta y_1}+e^{-\eta y_1}-2}{2\eta^2},\\
\mathcal{C}_{0\eta}\circ pr_2 &=& -e^{\eta z_1}\dfrac{z_2^2+z_3^2}{2} - \dfrac{e^{\eta z_1}+e^{-\eta z_1}-2}{2\eta^2},
\end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\mathcal{C}_{1\eta}\circ pr_1 &=& e^{\eta y_1}(y_2y_3) + \dfrac{e^{\eta y_1}+e^{-\eta y_1}-2}{\eta^2},\\
\mathcal{C}_{1\eta}\circ pr_2 &=& e^{\eta z_1}(z_2z_3) + \dfrac{e^{\eta z_1}+e^{-\eta z_1}-2}{\eta^2},
\end{array}$$

respectively.

Now, we consider on $G_\eta \times G_\eta$ the coproduct of the Hamiltonian functions $H_{0\eta}$ and $H_{1\eta}$ on G_η, which we also denote by $H_{0\eta}$ and $H_{1\eta}$,

$$H_{0\eta} := \mathcal{C}_{1\eta}\circ\cdot_\eta = e^{\eta z_1}(y_2z_3+y_3z_2) + e^{\eta(y_1+z_1)}y_2y_3 + e^{\eta(z_1-y_1)}z_2z_3 + \frac{e^{\eta(y_1+z_1)}+e^{-\eta(y_1+z_1)}-2}{\eta^2},$$

and

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
H_{1\eta} := \mathcal{C}_{0\eta}\circ\cdot_\eta &=& -e^{\eta z_1}(y_2z_2+y_3z_3) - e^{\eta(y_1+z_1)}\left(\dfrac{y_2^2}{2}+\dfrac{y_3^2}{2}\right) - e^{\eta(z_1-y_1)}\left(\dfrac{z_2^2}{2}+\dfrac{z_3^2}{2}\right)\\
&& -\dfrac{e^{\eta(y_1+z_1)}+e^{-\eta(y_1+z_1)}-2}{2\eta^2}.
\end{array}$$

Then, the Hamiltonian systems $(G_\eta \times G_\eta, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta}, H_{0\eta})$ and $(G_\eta \times G_\eta, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta}, H_{1\eta})$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{y}_1 &= -y_3\left(y_3e^{\eta(y_1+z_1)}+z_3e^{\eta z_1}\right)+y_2\left(y_2e^{\eta(y_1+z_1)}+z_2e^{\eta z_1}\right),\\
\dot{y}_2 &= y_3\left(\eta y_2y_3e^{\eta(y_1+z_1)}-\eta z_2z_3e^{\eta(z_1-y_1)}+\frac{e^{\eta(y_1+z_1)}-e^{-\eta(y_1+z_1)}}{\eta}\right)\\
&\quad+\left(y_2e^{\eta(y_1+z_1)}+z_2e^{\eta z_1}\right)\left(\frac{e^{-2\eta y_1}-1}{2\eta}-\eta(\frac{y_2^2}{2}+\frac{y_3^2}{2})\right),\\
\dot{y}_3 &= -y_2\left(\eta y_2y_3e^{\eta(y_1+z_1)}-\eta z_2z_3e^{\eta(z_1-y_1)}+\frac{e^{\eta(y_1+z_1)}-e^{-\eta(y_1+z_1)}}{\eta}\right)\\
&\quad+\left(y_3e^{\eta(y_1+z_1)}+z_3e^{\eta z_1}\right)\left(\eta(\frac{y_2^2}{2}+\frac{y_3^2}{2})-\frac{e^{-2\eta y_1}-1}{2\eta}\right),\\
\dot{z}_1 &= -z_3\left(y_3e^{\eta z_1}+z_3e^{\eta(z_1-y_1)}\right)+z_2\left(y_2e^{\eta z_1}+z_2e^{\eta(z_1-y_1)}\right),\\
\dot{z}_2 &= z_3\left(\eta y_2y_3e^{\eta(y_1+z_1)}+\eta(y_2z_3+y_3z_2)e^{\eta z_1}+\eta z_2z_3e^{\eta(z_1-y_1)}+\frac{e^{\eta(y_1+z_1)}-e^{-\eta(y_1+z_1)}}{\eta}\right)\\
&\quad+\left(y_2e^{\eta z_1}+z_2e^{\eta(z_1-y_1)}\right)\left(\frac{e^{-2\eta z_1}-1}{2\eta}-\eta(\frac{z_2^2}{2}+\frac{z_3^2}{2})\right),\\
\dot{z}_3 &= -z_2\left(\eta y_2y_3e^{\eta(y_1+z_1)}+\eta(y_2z_3+y_3z_2)e^{\eta z_1}+\eta z_2z_3e^{\eta(z_1-y_1)}+\frac{e^{\eta(y_1+z_1)}-e^{-\eta(y_1+z_1)}}{\eta}\right)\\
&\quad+\left(y_3e^{\eta z_1}+z_3e^{\eta(z_1-y_1)}\right)\left(\eta(\frac{z_2^2}{2}+\frac{z_3^2}{2})-\frac{e^{-2\eta z_1}-1}{2\eta}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{y}_1 &= y_2\left(y_2e^{\eta(y_1+z_1)}+z_2e^{\eta z_1}\right)-y_3\left(y_3e^{\eta(y_1+z_1)}+z_3e^{\eta z_1}\right),\\
\dot{y}_2 &= -y_2\left(\eta(\frac{y_2^2}{2}+\frac{y_3^2}{2})e^{\eta(y_1+z_1)}-\eta(\frac{z_2^2}{2}+\frac{z_3^2}{2})e^{\eta(z_1-y_1)}+\frac{e^{\eta(y_1+z_1)}-e^{-\eta(y_1+z_1)}}{2\eta}\right)\\
&\quad-\left(y_3e^{\eta(y_1+z_1)}+z_3e^{\eta z_1}\right)\left(\frac{e^{-2\eta y_1}-1}{\eta}-\eta y_2y_3\right),\\
\dot{y}_3 &= y_3\left(\eta(\frac{y_2^2}{2}+\frac{y_3^2}{2})e^{\eta(y_1+z_1)}-\eta(\frac{z_2^2}{2}+\frac{z_3^2}{2})e^{\eta(z_1-y_1)}+\frac{e^{\eta(y_1+z_1)}-e^{-\eta(y_1+z_1)}}{2\eta}\right)\\
&\quad-\left(y_2e^{\eta(y_1+z_1)}+z_2e^{\eta z_1}\right)\left(\eta y_2y_3-\frac{e^{-2\eta y_1}-1}{\eta}\right),\\
\dot{z}_1 &= z_2\left(y_2e^{\eta z_1}+z_2e^{\eta(z_1-y_1)}\right)-z_3\left(y_3e^{\eta z_1}+z_3e^{\eta(z_1-y_1)}\right),\\
\dot{z}_2 &= -z_2\left(\eta(\frac{y_2^2}{2}+\frac{y_3^2}{2})e^{\eta(y_1+z_1)}+\eta(\frac{z_2^2}{2}+\frac{z_3^2}{2})e^{\eta(z_1-y_1)}+\frac{e^{\eta(y_1+z_1)}-e^{-\eta(y_1+z_1)}}{2\eta}\right)\\
&\quad-\eta e^{\eta z_1}(y_2z_2+y_3z_3)z_2-\left(y_3e^{\eta z_1}+z_3e^{\eta(z_1-y_1)}\right)\left(\frac{e^{-2\eta z_1}-1}{\eta}-\eta z_2z_3\right),\\
\dot{z}_3 &= z_3\left(\eta(\frac{y_2^2}{2}+\frac{y_3^2}{2})e^{\eta(y_1+z_1)}+\eta(\frac{z_2^2}{2}+\frac{z_3^2}{2})e^{\eta(z_1-y_1)}+\frac{e^{\eta(y_1+z_1)}-e^{-\eta(y_1+z_1)}}{2\eta}\right)\\
&\quad+\eta e^{\eta z_1}(y_2z_2+y_3z_3)z_3-\left(y_2e^{\eta z_1}+z_2e^{\eta(z_1-y_1)}\right)\left(\eta z_2z_3-\frac{e^{-2\eta z_1}-1}{\eta}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively.

As we know, these systems are completely integrable and they admit reduction, via the multiplication $\cdot_\eta : G_\eta \times G_\eta \to G_\eta$, to the bi-Hamiltonian system on G_η in Section 6.2.

This last result is very clear if we consider the new coordinates $(x, z) = ((x_1, x_2, x_3), (z_1, z_2, z_3))$ on $G_\eta \times G_\eta$, with $x = y \cdot_\eta z$.

In these new coordinates, the Poisson structures $\Pi_{0\eta}$ and $\Pi_{1\eta}$ on $G_\eta \times G_\eta$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{0\eta}(x,z) &= -x_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + x_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + \left(\frac{e^{-2\eta x_1}-1}{2\eta} - \eta(\frac{x_2^2}{2}+\frac{x_3^2}{2})\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}\\
&\quad -z_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} + z_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3} + z_3e^{-\eta(x_1-z_1)}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} - z_2e^{-\eta(x_1-z_1)}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\\
&\quad +\left(\frac{e^{-\eta(x_1+z_1)}-e^{-\eta(x_1-z_1)}}{2\eta} - \eta e^{-\eta(x_1-z_1)}(\frac{z_2^2}{2}+\frac{z_3^2}{2})\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}\\
&\quad +\left(\frac{e^{-\eta(x_1-z_1)}-e^{-\eta(x_1+z_1)}}{2\eta} + \eta e^{-\eta(x_1-z_1)}(\frac{z_2^2}{2}+\frac{z_3^2}{2})\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}\\
&\quad -z_3\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} + z_2\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3} + \left(\frac{e^{-2\eta z_1}-1}{2\eta} - \eta(\frac{z_2^2}{2}+\frac{z_3^2}{2})\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{1\eta}(x,z) &= -x_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + x_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + \left(\frac{e^{-2\eta x_1}-1}{\eta} - \eta x_2x_3\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}\\
&\quad -z_2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} + z_3\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3} + z_2e^{-\eta(x_1-z_1)}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} - z_3e^{-\eta(x_1-z_1)}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\\
&\quad +\left(\frac{e^{-\eta(x_1+z_1)}-e^{-\eta(x_1-z_1)}}{\eta} - \eta e^{-\eta(x_1-z_1)}z_2z_3\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}\\
&\quad +\left(\frac{e^{-\eta(x_1-z_1)}-e^{-\eta(x_1+z_1)}}{\eta} + \eta e^{-\eta(x_1-z_1)}z_2z_3\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}\\
&\quad -z_2\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} + z_3\frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3} + \left(\frac{e^{-2\eta z_1}-1}{\eta} - \eta z_2z_3\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial z_2}\wedge\frac{\partial}{\partial z_3}.
\end{aligned}$$

The Casimir functions of the Poisson brackets $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta}$ and $\{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta}$ are:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_{0\eta}\circ pr_1 &= -\frac{1}{2}e^{\eta(x_1-z_1)}(x_2^2+x_3^2) - \frac{1}{2}e^{-\eta(x_1-z_1)}(z_2^2+z_3^2) + (x_2z_2+x_3z_3)\\
&\quad -\frac{e^{\eta(x_1-z_1)}+e^{-\eta(x_1-z_1)}-2}{2\eta^2}\\
\mathcal{C}_{0\eta}\circ pr_2 &= -e^{\eta z_1}\frac{z_2^2+z_3^2}{2} - \frac{e^{\eta z_1}+e^{-\eta z_1}-2}{2\eta^2},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_{1\eta}\circ pr_1 &= e^{\eta(x_1-z_1)}x_2x_3 - (x_2z_3+x_3z_2) + e^{-\eta(x_1-z_1)}z_2z_3\\
&\quad +\frac{e^{\eta(x_1-z_1)}+e^{-\eta(x_1-z_1)}-2}{\eta^2},\\
\mathcal{C}_{1\eta}\circ pr_2 &= e^{\eta z_1}(z_2z_3) + \frac{e^{\eta z_1}+e^{-\eta z_1}-2}{\eta^2},
\end{aligned}$$

respectively.

On the other hand, the Hamiltonian functions $H_{0\eta}$ and $H_{1\eta}$ read

$$H_{0\eta} = e^{\eta x_1}(x_2x_3) + \frac{e^{\eta x_1} + e^{-\eta x_1} - 2}{\eta^2}, \;\; H_{1\eta} = -e^{\eta x_1}\frac{x_2^2 + x_3^2}{2} - \frac{e^{\eta x_1} + e^{-\eta x_1} - 2}{2\eta^2}.$$

In the new coordinates (x, z), the two completely integrable Hamiltonian systems $(G_\eta \times G_\eta, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta}, H_{0\eta})$ and $(G_\eta \times G_\eta, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta}, H_{1\eta})$ become

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{x_1} &= e^{\eta x_1}(x_2^2 - x_3^2),\\
\dot{x_2} &= \eta e^{\eta x_1}x_2x_3^2 - \frac{1}{2}\eta e^{\eta x_1}(x_2^3 - x_2x_3^2) + \frac{e^{\eta x_1} - e^{-\eta x_1}}{2\eta}(2x_3 - x_2),\\
\dot{x_3} &= -\eta e^{\eta x_1}x_2^2x_3 + \frac{1}{2}\eta e^{\eta x_1}(x_2^2x_3 + x_3^3) + \frac{e^{\eta x_1} - e^{-\eta x_1}}{2\eta}(x_3 - 2x_2),\\
\dot{z_1} &= e^{\eta z_1}(x_2z_2 - x_3z_3),\\
\dot{z_2} &= \left(\eta e^{\eta x_1}x_2x_3 + \frac{e^{\eta x_1} - e^{-\eta x_1}}{\eta}\right)z_3 + x_2\frac{e^{-\eta z_1} - e^{\eta z_1}}{2\eta} + \eta x_2e^{\eta z_1}(\frac{z_2^2}{2} + \frac{z_3^2}{2}),\\
\dot{z_3} &= \left(\eta e^{\eta x_1}x_2x_3 + \frac{e^{\eta x_1} - e^{-\eta x_1}}{\eta}\right)(-z_2) + x_3\frac{e^{\eta z_1} - e^{-\eta z_1}}{2\eta} + \eta x_3e^{\eta z_1}(\frac{z_2^2}{2} + \frac{z_3^2}{2}),
\end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{x_1} &= e^{\eta x_1}(x_2^2 - x_3^2),\\
\dot{x_2} &= \eta e^{\eta x_1}x_2x_3^2 - \frac{1}{2}\eta e^{\eta x_1}(x_2^3 - x_2x_3^2) + \frac{e^{\eta x_1} - e^{-\eta x_1}}{2\eta}(2x_3 - x_2),\\
\dot{x_3} &= -\eta e^{\eta x_1}x_2^2x_3 + \frac{1}{2}\eta e^{\eta x_1}(x_2^2x_3 + x_3^3) + \frac{e^{\eta x_1} - e^{-\eta x_1}}{2\eta}(x_3 - 2x_2),\\
\dot{z_1} &= e^{\eta z_1}(x_2z_2 - x_3z_3),\\
\dot{z_2} &= -\left(\eta e^{\eta x_1}\frac{x_2^2 + x_3^2}{2} + \frac{e^{\eta x_1} - e^{-\eta x_1}}{2\eta}\right)z_2 + x_3\frac{e^{\eta z_1} - e^{-\eta z_1}}{\eta} + \eta e^{\eta z_1}x_3z_2z_3\\
\dot{z_3} &= \left(\eta e^{\eta x_1}\frac{x_2^2 + x_3^2}{2} + \frac{e^{\eta x_1} - e^{-\eta x_1}}{2\eta}\right)z_3 - x_2\frac{e^{\eta z_1} - e^{-\eta z_1}}{\eta} - \eta e^{\eta z_1}x_2z_2z_3,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

respectively.

Finally, as in the Lorenz system, the multiplication $\cdot_\eta : G_\eta \times G_\eta \to G_\eta$ in the new variables is just the first projection, that is,

$$+((x_1, x_2, x_3), (z_1, z_2, z_3)) = (x_1, x_2, x_3). \tag{6.12}$$

So, using (6.6), (6.10), (6.11) and (6.12), we directly deduce that the two completely integrable Hamiltonian systems $(G_\eta \times G_\eta, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_{0\eta}, H_{0\eta})$ and $(G_\eta \times G_\eta, \{\cdot,\cdot\}_{1\eta}, H_{1\eta})$ admit reduction, via the multiplication $\cdot_\eta : G_\eta \times G_\eta \to G_\eta$, to the bi-Hamiltonian system on G_η considered in Section 6.2.

7. Concluding remarks

In this paper we have presented the generalization of the Poisson coalgebra construction of integrable deformations of Hamiltonian systems to the case when the initial system is bi-Hamiltonian under a pair of Lie-Poisson structures. Moreover, the method here presented allows the systematic construction, under certain conditions, of pairs of coupled completely integrable Hamiltonian systems on Poisson-Lie groups. In this way, integrable deformations of the Lorenz and Euler top systems have been constructed.

It is worth recalling that a complete classification of the Lie-Poisson completely integrable bi-Hamiltonian systems on $\mathbb{R}^3$, which have non-transcendental integrals of motion, may be found in [14] (see also [13]). In fact, the Euler top system is labeled with the number (6) in Table 1 of [14]. On the other hand, a complete classification of non-equivalent adjoint 1-cocycles on Lie algebras of dimension 3, whose dual maps satisfy the Jacobi identity (*i.e.* a complete classification of non-equivalent Lie bialgebras of dimension 3) may be found in [11].

By using the results in [14] and [11], it can be easily proven by direct inspection that the method here presented could be also straightforwardly applied to the Lie-Poisson completely integrable bi-Hamiltonian systems on $\mathbb{R}^3$ which are labelled as (2), (4) and (5) in Table 1 of [14], since these are the only cases for which a common 1-cocycle does exist. Therefore, for all these cases we could obtain pairs of completely integrable systems on the product of a certain Lie-Poisson group G_η with itself, whose projection, via the group multiplication, leads to a completely integrable bi-Hamiltonian system on G_η. The search for other Lie-Poisson bi-Hamiltonian systems on $\mathbb{R}^N$ (with $N \geq 4$) and their compatible 1-cocycles is currently under investigation.

Finally, we stress that it would be interesting to get a deeper insight into the underlying geometric structure of the (quasi) bi-Hamiltonian systems on Poisson-Lie groups that have arised in the present paper. Work on this line is also in progress and will be presented elsewhere.

References

[1] V. I. Arnol'd, *Mathematical methods of classical mechanics*, Translated from the Russian by K. Vogtmann and A. Weinstein. Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **60**. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.

[2] A. Ballesteros, A. Blasco, F.J. Herranz, F. Musso, O. Ragnisco, *(Super)integrability from coalgebra symmetry: Formalism and applications*, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **175** (2009) 012004.

[3] A. Ballesteros, A. Blasco and F. Musso, *Integrable deformations of Rössler and Lorenz systems from Poisson-Lie groups*, *Journal of Differential Equations*, **260** (2016) 8207.

[4] A. Ballesteros, J.F. Cariñena, J. de Lucas, F.J. Herranz, C. Sardón, From constants of motion to superposition rules for Lie-Hamilton systems, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **46** (2013) 285203 (25 pp).

[5] A. Ballesteros, F. Musso, O. Ragnisco, *Comodule algebras and integrable systems*, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **35** (2002) 8197.

[6] A. Ballesteros, O. Ragnisco, *A systematic construction of completely integrable Hamiltonians from coalgebras*, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.***31** (1998) 3791.

[7] A. Ballesteros, O. Ragnisco, *Classical dynamical systems from q-algebras: 'Cluster' variables and explicit solutions*, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **36** (2003) 10505.

[8] V. Chari, A. Pressley, *A Guide to Quantum Groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1995).

[9] V.G. Drinfel'd, *Hamiltonian structures on Lie groups, Lie bialgebras, and the geometrical meaning of classical Yang-Baxter equations*, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **27** (1983) 68.

[10] V.G. Drinfel'd, Quantum Groups, in: Gleason A V (Ed.), *Proc. Int. Cong. Math. Berkeley 1986*, (Providence: AMS) p. 798 (1987).

[11] X Gomez, *Classification of three-dimensional Lie bialgebras*, *J. Math. Phys.* **41** (2000), no. 7, 4939–4956.

[12] J. Grabowski, G. Marmo, P.W. Michor, *Construction of completely integrable systems by Poisson mappings*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **14** (1999) 2109.

[13] H Gumral, Y Nutku, *Poisson structure of dynamical systems with three degrees of freedom*, *J Math Phys* **34** (1993), 5691–5723.

[14] A N Hone, M Petrera, *Three-dimensional discrete systems of Hirota-Kimura type and deformed Lie-Poisson algebras*, *J. Geom. Mech.* **1** (2009), no. 1, 55–85.

[15] B Karasozen, *Composite Integrators for Bi-Hamiltonian Systems*, *Computers Mathematics with Applications*, **32** (1996), 79–86.

[16] Y Kosmann-Schwarzbach, F Magri, *Poisson-Nijenhuis structures*, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Theor.* **53** (1990), no. 1, 35–81.

[17] C Laurent-Gengoux, E Miranda, P Vanhaecke, *Action-angle coordinates for integrable systems on Poisson manifolds*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2011, no. 8, 1839–1869.
[18] E N Lorenz, *Deterministic nonperiodic flow*, *J Atmos Sci* **20** (1963), 130–141.
[19] F. Musso, *Gaudin models and Poisson coalgebras*, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **43** (2010) 434026; *Integrable systems and loop coproducts*, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **43** (2010) 455207.
[20] A.G. Reyman and M. A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, *Group theoretical method in the theory of finite-dimensional integrable systems,* in "Dynamical systems VII,"Springer, 1994.
[21] H Steeb, N Euler, *Nonlinear Evolution Equations and Painleve Test*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1988.
[22] M Tabor, J Weiss, *Analytical structure of the Lorenz system*, *Phys. Rev. A* **24** (1981), 2157–2167.
[23] I. Vaisman *Lectures on the geometry of Poisson manifolds*, Progress in Mathematics, **118**. Birkhuser Verlag, Basel, 1994.

A. Ballesteros: Departamento de Física, Universidad de Burgos, Burgos, Spain

E-mail address: angelb@ubu.es

Juan C. Marrero: ULL-CSIC Geometría Diferencial y Mecánica Geométrica, Departamento de Matemáticas, Estadística e IO, Sección de Matemáticas, Universidad de La Laguna, La Laguna, Tenerife, Canary Islands, Spain

E-mail address: jcmarrer@ull.edu.es

Z. Ravanpak: Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University, Tabriz, Iran

E-mail address: z.ravanpak@azaruniv.edu